arXiv:alg-geom/9705025v1 28 May 1997

Compact Hyperkähler Manifolds: Basic Results

Daniel Huybrechts
Universität–GH Essen, Fachbereich 6 Mathematik, 45141 Essen, Germany
daniel.huybrechts@uni-essen.de

Compact hyperkähler manifolds, or irreducible symplectic manifolds as they will be frequently called in these notes, are higher-dimensional analogues of K3 surfaces. That they indeed share many of the well-known properties of K3 surfaces this paper intends to show.

To any K3 surface X one naturally associates its period consisting of the weight-two Hodge structure on $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ together with the intersection pairing. The period describes and determines a K3 surface in the following sense:

- Firstly, the period encodes the algebraic (and to some extent also the differential) geometry of the K3 surface. E.g. the ample (Kähler) cone can be described purely in terms of the period.
- Secondly, any point in the period domain is realized as the period of a K3 surface.
- Thirdly, and this is certainly the culmination of the theory, two K3 surfaces with the same period are isomorphic (Global Torelli Theorem).

The second cohomology $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ of a compact irreducible hyperkähler (or irreducible symplectic) manifold also carries a natural weight-two Hodge structure. Moreover, due to a result of Beauville $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ can be endowed with a natural non-degenerate quadratic form q_X generalizing the intersection pairing of a K3 surface. For higher-dimensional varieties, in contrast to varieties of dimension two, the knowledge of the second cohomology usually reveals only a small portion of the full geometry. However, for irreducible symplectic manifolds the situation is quite different and it is not completely unreasonable to expect $(H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}),q_X)$ to encode all 'essential' information about the geometry of X. In this paper we present several results underpinning this expectation. But to temper the enthusiasm a little at this point we mention that Debarre has constructed examples showing that the Global Torelli Theorem as formulated for K3 surfaces fails in higher dimensions.

The first basic result showing that $(H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}),q_X)$ controls the geometry of X at least to a certain extent is the following projectivity criterion (Theorem 3.11) which is also of importance for many of the other results in the later chapters.

- An irreducible symplectic manifold X is projective if and only if there exists a line bundle L on X with positive square $q_X(c_1(L)) > 0$.

Another instance of the significance of the second cohomology for the geometry of X is a description of the ample cone. Due to a missing concept of (-2)-classes in higher dimensions the result is less explicit than the known one for K3 surfaces. It can roughly be formulated as

- A class in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is ample if and only if it has positive square with respect to q_X and cannot be separated from the Kähler cone by any (integral) hyperplane.

For the precise statement see Theorem 6.5. A similar description is obtained for the Kähler cone (Theorem 7.1). Again, the result does not reach the explicitness of the result for K3 surfaces, but it suffices to describe the Kähler cone of certain hyperkähler manifolds completely. One of the consequences is the following result (Corollary 5.7):

- For a general irreducible symplectic manifold X the Kähler cone equals the positive cone, i.e. the Kähler cone is a connected component of $\{\alpha \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R}) | q_X(\alpha) > 0\}$.

An irreducible symplectic manifold is general if the corresponding point in the moduli space is contained in the complement of countably many nowhere dense closed subspaces (see 1.12). In fact, the description of the Kähler cone is based on the higher dimensional analogue of the transitivity of the Weyl-action for K3 surfaces. The latter says that any general $(1,1)$-class with positive selfintersection on a K3 surface can be mapped to a Kähler class by reflecting it in a finite number of hyperplanes orthogonal to (-2)-curves. Proposition 5.1 and Theorem 5.9 are in this spirit, although in higher dimensions there does not exist a Weyl-group and the resulting class is only Kähler on an irreducible symplectic manifold birational to the given one.

The information about the Kähler cone allows us to attack the question of which point in the period domain really occurs as the period of an irreducible symplectic manifold:

- The period map surjects any non-empty connected component of the moduli space of marked irreducible symplectic manifolds onto the period domain $\{x | q_\Gamma(x) = 0,\ q_\Gamma(x + \bar{x}) > 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}(\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}})$.

This is Theorem 8.1 (cf. Sect. 1 for the notation). The last result we wish to mention explicitly in the introduction deals with birational irreducible symplectic manifolds. It has been known for some time that seemingly different examples of irreducible symplectic manifolds are often related to each other either by deformation or by birational correspondences. In [28] we could show that certain moduli spaces of stable rank two sheaves on a K3 surface are deformation equivalent (and hence diffeomorphic) to the Hilbert scheme of points on this K3 surface. In fact, we showed more generally that under a certain assumption on the codimension of the exceptional sets any two birational irreducible symplectic manifolds are diffeomorphic. Using the techniques developed in this paper we are now able to prove this result in full generality. As a consequence of the main Theorem 4.6 we mention:

- Two birational projective irreducible symplectic manifolds are deformation equivalent and, hence, diffeomorphic.

This replaces the fact that birational K3 surfaces are isomorphic. The result also compares nicely with a recent theorem of Batyrev and Kontsevich saying in particular that birational projective manifolds with trivial canonical bundle have the same Betti numbers (see the discussion in Sect. 4).

The plan of the paper is as follows: In Sect. 1 we recall the basic concepts and formulate some of the known results in a form ready for later use. Some of the statements I could not find in the literature, though they are probably known to the experts. Sect. 1 has grown out of size a little, but I hope it makes the rest of the paper more easily accessible. Sect. 2 gives a list of all examples (known to me) of irreducible symplectic manifolds. This is important for a result on the classification of these examples in Sect. 4. Sect. 3 deals with the above mentioned projectivity criterion. In Sect. 4 we show that the Main Lemma of Burns and Rapoport [12] can be adapted easily to the higher-dimensional situation. Then we generalize the main result of [28] and show that two birational projective irreducible symplectic manifolds correspond to non-separated points on the moduli space. This immediately implies the fact about the deformation equivalence as stated above. This result is then applied to the list of examples in Sect. 2. In Sect. 5 we present a result which can be seen as a replacement of the transitivity of the action of the Weyl-group on the set of chambers of a K3 surface. It has interesting applications to the Kähler cone (Sect. 7) and leads eventually to the surjectivity of the period map in Sect. 8. Compared to the standard proofs for K3 surfaces the order of arguments has got an interesting twist. The ampleness of line bundles on irreducible symplectic manifolds is discussed in Sect. 6. The penultimate Sect. 9 is devoted to the automorphism group of irreducible symplectic manifolds. We collect known results and formulate some open problems. The results of Sect. 3-8 clearly indicate that irreducible symplectic manifolds behave in many respects like K3 surfaces. However, the two main problems in the theory

remain wide open and seem to require completely different techniques (this also explains the 'Basic Results' in the title): *i)* Is there any kind of Global Torelli Theorem for higher-dimensional irreducible symplectic manifolds? and *ii)* What are the possible deformation (diffeomorphism, homeomorphism) types of irreducible symplectic manifolds? We discuss in Sect. 10 some of the aspects of these two problems and their relation to questions on the moduli space of marked manifolds.

Acknowledgements: My main source of inspiration were Beauville's presentation of the theory of K3 surfaces in [1] and Fujiki's papers on symplectic manifolds [20, 21]. The bulk of this paper was written while I was enjoying the hospitality of the IHES (Bures-sur-Yvette) and the Humboldt-Universität (Berlin). I am also grateful for financial support provided by the Max-Planck-Institut (Bonn), the IHES, and the Universität-GH Essen. Several people have read preliminary versions of this article and made valuable comments. In particular, I express my gratitude to L. Bonavero, P. Deligne, H. Esnault, and E. Viehweg. P. Deligne suggested an improvement of Proposition 5.1 which resulted in Theorem 5.9. I wish to thank H. Esnault and E. Viehweg also for their warm welcome to Essen and their encouragement in the final stage of this work.

1 Preliminaries

1.1 — A complex manifold X is called *irreducible symplectic* if *i)* X is compact and Kähler, *ii)* X is simply connected, and *iii)* $H^0(X, \Omega_X^2)$ is spanned by an everywhere non-degenerate two-form σ.

Any holomorphic two-form σ induces a homomorphism $\mathcal{T}_X \to \Omega_X$, which we also denote by σ. The two-form is everywhere non-degenerate if and only if $\sigma : \mathcal{T}_X \to \Omega_X$ is bijective. Note that *iii)* implies $h^{2,0}(X) = h^{0,2}(X) = 1$ and $K_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$. In particular, $c_1(X) = 0$. Any irreducible symplectic manifold X has even complex dimension which we will fix to be $2n$. Irreducible symplectic manifolds occupy a distinguished place in the list of higher dimensional Kähler manifolds. Together with Calabi-Yau manifolds they are the only irreducible simply connected Kähler manifolds with $c_1(X) = 0$ (cf. [2]).

1.2 — A compact connected $4n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called *hyperkähler* (*irreducible hyperkähler*) if its holonomy is contained in (equals) $\mathrm{Sp}(n)$.

If (M, g) is hyperkähler, then the quaternions $\mathbb{H}$ act as parallel endomorphisms on the tangent bundle of M. This is a consequence of the holonomy principle: Every tensor at a point in M that is invariant under the holonomy action can be extended to a parallel tensor over M. In particular, any $\lambda \in \mathbb{H}$ with $\lambda^2 = -1$ gives rise to an almost complex structure on M. As it turns out, these almost complex structures are all integrable [46]. After having fixed a standard basis I, J, and $K := IJ$ of $\mathbb{H}$ any $\lambda \in \mathbb{H}$ with $\lambda^2 = -1$ can be written as $\lambda = aI + bJ + cK$ with $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1$. Note that the metric g is Kähler with respect to every such $\lambda \in S^2$. The corresponding Kähler form is denoted by $\omega_\lambda := g(\lambda\,.\,,\,.\,)$.

Thus, a hyperkähler metric g on a manifold M defines a family of complex Kähler manifolds $(M, \lambda, \omega_\lambda)$, where $\lambda \in S^2 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.

1.3 — We briefly sketch the relation between irreducible symplectic and irreducible hyperkähler manifolds. For details we refer to [2].

If X is irreducible symplectic and $\alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ is a Kähler class on X, then there exists a unique Ricci-flat Kähler metric g with Kähler class α. This follows from Yau's solution of the Calabi-conjecture. Then g is an irreducible hyperkähler metric on the underlying real manifold M. Moreover, for one of the complex structures, say I, one has $X = (M, I)$.

Conversely, if (M, g) is hyperkähler and I, J, K are complex structures as above, then $\sigma := \omega_J + i\omega_K$ is a holomorphic everywhere non-degenerate two-form on $X = (M, I)$. If M is compact and g is irreducible hyperkähler, then M is simply connected and $H^0((M, I), \Omega^2_{(M,I)}) = \sigma \cdot \mathbb{C}$, i.e. X is irreducible symplectic.

Thus, irreducible symplectic manifolds with a fixed Kähler class and compact irreducible hyperkähler manifolds are the holomorphic respectively metric incarnation of the same object. We will use the two names accordingly.

1.4 — Let X be an irreducible symplectic manifold. For later use we introduce the following notations:

The *Kähler cone* $\mathcal{K}_X \subset H^{1,1}(X)_\mathbb{R} := H^{1,1}(X) \cap H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ is the open convex cone of all Kähler classes on X.

If $\alpha \in H^{1,1}(X)_\mathbb{R}$, then one defines

$$P(X) := (\sigma \cdot \mathbb{C} \oplus \bar{\sigma} \cdot \mathbb{C}) \cap H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \qquad \text{and} \qquad F(\alpha) := P(X) \oplus \alpha \cdot \mathbb{R},$$

where σ is a holomorphic two-form spanning $H^0(X, \Omega^2_X)$. If $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}_X$ and the induced hyperkähler structure is (M, g, I, J, K), where $X = (M, I)$, then $\sigma = \omega_J + i\omega_K$ (up to scalar factors) and, therefore, $P(X) = [\omega_J] \cdot \mathbb{R} \oplus [\omega_K] \cdot \mathbb{R}$ and $F(\alpha) = [\omega_I] \cdot \mathbb{R} \oplus [\omega_J] \cdot \mathbb{R} \oplus [\omega_K] \cdot \mathbb{R}$. Hence, $F(\alpha) \subset H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) = H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ is independent of the complex structure and depends only on the metric g on M. Sometimes, $F(\alpha)$ is called the *HK 3-space* associated with the hyperkähler metric g.

1.5 — Let X be an irreducible symplectic manifold. The following identities are immediate consequences of the definition:

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) &= 0,\ H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathbb{C} \\ H^0(X, \mathcal{T}_X) &\cong H^0(X, \Omega_X) = 0 \\ H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X) &\cong H^1(X, \Omega_X). \end{aligned}$$

In the following (1.6,1.7,1.8) we state some results concerning the cohomological structure of irreducible symplectic manifolds. Most of them are due to Fujiki. For details and other results in this direction we refer to Enoki's survey article [17], to the original paper of Fujiki [21] and to the more recent articles by Looijenga and Lunts [36] and Verbitsky [51].

1.6 — Let (M, g) be a compact irreducible hyperkähler manifold of real dimension $4n$. Let F denote the associated HK 3-space spanned by the three Kähler forms $[\omega_I], [\omega_J], [\omega_K]$. The Lefschetz operator $L_\lambda := L_{\omega_\lambda}$ on the Kähler manifold $(M, \lambda, \omega_\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in S^2$ acts on the cohomology $H^*(M, \mathbb{R})$ and allows one to define the primitive cohomology $H^k((M,\lambda),\mathbb{R})_{\rm pr} := \ker(L_\lambda^{2n-k+1} : H^k(M,\mathbb{R}) \to H^{4n-k+2}(M,\mathbb{R}))$ $(k \leq 2n)$. Recall, the *Lefschetz decomposition* on the compact Kähler manifold $(M, \lambda, \omega_\lambda)$ is the direct sum decomposition

$$H^k(M,\mathbb{R}) = H^k((M,\lambda),\mathbb{R}) = \bigoplus_{(k-\ell)\geq(k-2n)^+} L_\lambda^{k-\ell}(H^{2\ell-k}((M,\lambda),\mathbb{R})_{\rm pr})$$

and the *Hard Lefschetz Theorem* asserts that for $k \leq 2n$

$$L_\lambda^{2n-k} : H^k(M,\mathbb{R}) \cong H^{4n-k}(M,\mathbb{R}).$$

The latter implies

$$L_\lambda^{k-\ell} : H^{2\ell-k}((M,\lambda),\mathbb{R})_{\rm pr} \cong L_\lambda^{k-\ell}(H^{2\ell-k}((M,\lambda),\mathbb{R})_{\rm pr}).$$

Combining the statements for all $\lambda \in S^2$ one obtains the following results [21]: Let $N^* \subset H^*(M,\mathbb{R})$ denote the subalgebra generated by F and let $H^*(M,\mathbb{R})_F := \bigoplus H^k(M,\mathbb{R})_F = \bigoplus\{\alpha \in H^k((M,\lambda),\mathbb{R})_{\rm pr} | \text{ for all } \lambda \in S^2\}$. Then

$$H^k(M,\mathbb{R}) = \bigoplus_{2(k-l)\geq(k-2n)^+} N^{k-\ell}H^\ell(M,\mathbb{R})_F$$

and for $k \leq n$

$$N^{k-\ell} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} H^\ell(M,\mathbb{R})_F \cong N^{k-\ell}H^\ell(M,\mathbb{R})_F.$$

The first statement in particular says

$$H^2(M,\mathbb{R}) = F \oplus H^2(M,\mathbb{R})_F.$$

Note that for any $\lambda \in S^2$ the space $H^2(M,\mathbb{R})_F$ is contained in $H^{1,1}((M,\lambda),\mathbb{R})$.

1.7 — Let X be an irreducible symplectic manifold and let $0 \neq \sigma \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^2)$ be fixed. By the holonomy principle one easily obtains (cf. [2]):

$$H^0(X,\Omega_X^p) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & p \equiv 1(2) \\ \Lambda^{p/2}\sigma \cdot \mathbb{C} & p \equiv 0(2). \end{cases}$$

Fujiki also proved holomorphic versions of the Lefschetz decomposition and the Hard Lefschetz Theorem. They allow one to compute other cohomology groups of the form $H^q(X,\Omega_X^p)$ as follows: Let $L_\sigma : H^q(X,\Omega_X^p) \to H^q(X,\Omega_X^{p+2})$ and $L_{\bar\sigma} : H^q(X,\Omega_X^p) \to H^{q+2}(X,\Omega_X^p)$ be the map given by the cup-product with σ and $\bar\sigma$, respectively, and let $H^q(X,\Omega_X^p)_\sigma := \ker(L_\sigma^{n-p+1})$ and $H^q(X,\Omega_X^p)_{\bar\sigma} := \ker(L_{\bar\sigma}^{n-q+1})$. Then

$$H^q(X,\Omega_X^p) = \bigoplus_{(p-\ell)\geq(p-n)^+} L_\sigma^{p-\ell}H^q(X,\Omega_X^{2\ell-p})_\sigma = \bigoplus_{(q-\ell)\geq(q-n)^+} L_{\bar\sigma}^{q-\ell}H^{2\ell-q}(X,\Omega_X^p)_{\bar\sigma}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{lrcll} L_\sigma^{n-p}: & H^q(X,\Omega_X^p) & \cong & H^q(X,\Omega_X^{2n-p}) & \text{for } p \le n \\ L_{\bar\sigma}^{n-q}: & H^q(X,\Omega_X^p) & \cong & H^{2n-q}(X,\Omega_X^p) & \text{for } q \le n. \end{array}$$

The following special cases will be used frequently

$$\begin{array}{lrcl} L_\sigma^{n-1}: & H^q(X,\Omega_X) & \cong & H^q(X,\Omega_X^{2n-1}) \text{ for all } q \\ L_{\bar\sigma}^{n-1}: & H^1(X,\Omega_X^p) & \cong & H^{2n-1}(X,\Omega_X^p) \text{ for all } p. \end{array}$$

The first isomorphism can be deduced using the isomorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{T}_X \to \Omega_X$ and the perfect pairing $\Omega_X \otimes \Omega_X^{2n-1} \to K_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$: The induced map $\Omega_X \cong \mathcal{T}_X \cong (\Omega_X)^\vee \cong \Omega_X^{2n-1}$ is just L_σ^{n-1}. The complex conjugate of the first isomorphism gives the second. Combining both we get

$$L_{\sigma\bar\sigma}^{n-1} := L_\sigma^{n-1} \circ L_{\bar\sigma}^{n-1} : H^1(X,\Omega_X) \cong H^{2n-1}(X,\Omega_X^{2n-1}).$$

In Sect. 3 we will also need a version of this isomorphism on the level of smooth forms.

1.8 — The following natural pairings are perfect:

$$\begin{array}{lcccl} H^1(X,\mathcal{T}_X) & \otimes & H^{2n-1}(X,\Omega_X^{2n-1}) & \to & H^{2n}(X,\Omega_X^{2n-2}) \cong (\bar\sigma^n\sigma^{n-1})\cdot\mathbb{C} \\ H^1(X,\mathcal{T}_X) & \otimes & H^1(X,\Omega_X) & \to & H^2(X,\mathcal{O}_X) \cong \bar\sigma\cdot\mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

Using Serre duality it suffices to show that the induced homomorphisms $H^1(X,\mathcal{T}_X) \to \mathrm{Hom}(H^{2n-1}(X,\Omega_X^{2n-1}), H^{2n}(X,\Omega_X^{2n-2})) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(H^0(X,\Omega_X^2), H^1(X,\Omega_X))$ and $H^1(X,\mathcal{T}_X) \to \mathrm{Hom}(H^1(X,\Omega_X), H^2(X,\mathcal{O}_X)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(H^{2n-2}(X,\Omega_X^{2n}), H^{2n-1}(X,\Omega_X^{2n-1}))$ are bijective. Using the general form of the holomorphic Hard Lefschetz Theorem above one finds that these maps are also given by the cup-product. Then the perfectness of the first pairing follows from the fact that $\sigma : \mathcal{T}_X \to \Omega_X$ is bijective and the proof of the second uses in addition that the composition of $L_\sigma^n : H^1(X,\mathcal{T}_X) \to H^1(X,\Omega_X^{2n-1})$ followed by $L_{\bar\sigma}^{n-1} : H^1(X,\Omega_X^{2n-1}) \to H^{2n-1}(X,\Omega_X^{2n-1})$ is bijective.

Note that in particular for any $0 \neq \alpha \in H^1(X,\Omega_X)$ (or $\in H^{2n-1}(X,\Omega_X^{2n-1})$) the natural map $\alpha : H^1(X,\mathcal{T}_X) \to H^2(X,\mathcal{O}_X)$ (respectively $H^1(X,\mathcal{T}_X) \to H^{2n}(X,\Omega_X^{2n-2})$) is surjective.

We will also use the following fact: Let $\beta \in H^{2n-1}(X,\Omega_X^{2n-1})$, then the cup-product with β sends $v \in H^1(X,\mathcal{T}_X)$ to $\beta\cdot v \in H^{2n}(X,\Omega_X^{2n-2})$. Hence $(\beta\cdot v)\sigma$ can be integrated over X. On the other hand, β can be regarded as a linear form on $H^1(X,\Omega_X)$, and thus can be evaluated on the image α of v under the isomorphism $H^1(X,\mathcal{T}_X) \cong H^1(X,\Omega_X)$. Clearly, the two expressions $\int_X(\beta\cdot v)\sigma$ and $\beta(\alpha) = \int_\beta \alpha$ agree. A similar statement holds for $\beta \in H^1(X,\Omega_X)$. Here we have $\int(\beta\cdot v)\sigma^n\bar\sigma^{n-1} = \int(\beta\alpha)(\sigma\bar\sigma)^{n-1} = cq_X(\beta,\alpha)$, where c is a positive number (for the definition of q_X see 1.9).

1.9 — Due to work of Beauville [2] there exists a natural quadratic form on the second cohomology of an irreducible symplectic manifold generalizing the intersection pairing on a K3 surface. There are several approaches towards this quadratic form [2, 21, 17, 8, 51] most

of them are intimately interwoven with the deformation theory of such manifolds. Let us state the main facts.

Let X be an irreducible symplectic manifold and let $\sigma \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^2)$ such that $\int (\sigma\bar{\sigma})^n = 1$. Define a quadratic form on $H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ by

$$f(\alpha) = \frac{n}{2} \int (\sigma\bar{\sigma})^{n-1}\alpha^2 + (1-n)\left(\int \sigma^{n-1}\bar{\sigma}^n\alpha\right)\cdot\left(\int \sigma^n\bar{\sigma}^{n-1}\alpha\right).$$

Writing α according to the Hodge decomposition as $\alpha = \lambda\sigma + \beta + \bar{\lambda}\bar{\sigma}$, where β is a $(1,1)$-form, then

$$f(\alpha) = \frac{n}{2}\int (\sigma\bar{\sigma})^{n-1}\beta^2 + \lambda\bar{\lambda}.$$

Clearly, with respect to this quadratic form $H^{1,1}(X)$ is orthogonal to $H^{2,0}(X) \oplus H^{0,2}(X)$. Moreover, $f(\sigma) = 0$ and $f(\sigma + \bar{\sigma}) = 1 > 0$. If α is a Kähler class on X and $F = F(\alpha)$, then the decomposition $H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) = F \oplus H^2(X, \mathbb{R})_F$ is orthogonal with respect to f. The restriction of f to F only depends on the underlying manifold M and the hyperkähler metric but not on the complex structure. One also has the following extremely useful formula

$$v(\alpha)^2 f(\beta) = f(\alpha)\left((2n-1)v(\alpha)\int \alpha^{2n-2}\beta^2 - (2n-2)\left(\int \alpha^{2n-1}\beta\right)^2\right) \tag{1}$$

for any two classes α and β, where $v(\alpha) = \int \alpha^{2n}$. Applying this formula to a Kähler class α and $\beta = \sigma + \bar{\sigma}$ yields $v(\alpha) = 2f(\alpha)(2n-1)\int \alpha^{2n-2}(\sigma\bar{\sigma})$. By the Hodge-Riemann bilinear relations the integral on the right hand side is positive. Hence: For any Kähler class α the quadratic form f restricted to $F(\alpha)$ is positive definite. For $0 \neq \beta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R})_F$ the above formula shows $v(\alpha)f(\beta) = (2n-1)f(\alpha)\int \alpha^{2n-2}\beta^2$. Thus f restricted to $H^2(X, \mathbb{R})_F$ is a positive multiple of the standard Hodge-Riemann bilinear form and, therefore, negative definite. Yet another consequence of formula (1) is the following: Since $v(\sigma + \bar{\sigma}) > 0$ and $f(\sigma + \bar{\sigma}) = 1$, for any $\beta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ close to $\sigma + \bar{\sigma}$ one has $f(\beta) > 0$ and $v(\beta) \in \mathbb{Q}$. Hence

$$f(\alpha)/f(\beta) = \frac{1}{v(\beta)^2}\left((2n-1)v(\beta)\int \beta^{2n-2}\alpha^2 - (2n-2)\left(\int \beta^{2n-1}\alpha\right)^2\right) \in \mathbb{Q}$$

for all $\alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$.

The upshot is: There exists a positive constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $q_X := c \cdot f$ is a *primitive integral quadratic form* on $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ of index $(3, b_2(X) - 3)$. For any Kähler class α the decomposition $F(\alpha) \oplus H^2(X, \mathbb{R})_{F(\alpha)}$ is orthogonal with respect to q_X. Also note $q_X(\sigma) = 0$ and $q_X(\sigma + \bar{\sigma}) > 0$.

By means of the integral quadratic form q_X one can establish a close link between rational classes of dimension one and those of codimension one. Namely, if c is the positive constant such that $c \cdot f = q_X$, then $cL_{\sigma\bar{\sigma}}^{n-1}$ defines an isomorphism $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong H^{2n-1,2n-1}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

(Note that this is quite similar to the Hard Lefschetz Theorem with respect to a Hodge class.) Indeed, if $\alpha \in H^{1,1}(X)$ and $\beta := cL_{\sigma\bar{\sigma}}^{n-1}(\alpha)$, then for any $\gamma \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ one has

$$\int \beta\gamma = \int \beta\gamma^{1,1} = c \int L_{\sigma\bar{\sigma}}^{n-1}(\alpha)\gamma^{1,1} = \frac{2}{n} q_X(\alpha, \gamma^{1,1}) = \frac{2}{n} q_X(\alpha, \gamma).$$

Now, if α is rational then $q_X(\alpha, \gamma) \in \mathbb{Q}$ and hence $\int \beta\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}$ for all $\gamma \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$. Thus $\beta \in H^{4n-2}(X, \mathbb{Q})$. Conversely, if β is rational, then $\int \beta\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}$ and therefore $q_X(\alpha, \gamma) \in \mathbb{Q}$. Since q_X is non-degenerate and integral, this shows $\alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$.

1.10 — The *positive cone* $\mathcal{C}_X$ is by definition the component of $\{\alpha \in H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} | q_X(\alpha) > 0\}$ that contains the Kähler cone $\mathcal{K}_X$ (cf. 1.4). Note that one has a Hodge Index Theorem with respect to q_X: A $(1,1)$-class β which is orthogonal to a Kähler class (with respect to q_X) satisfies $q_X(\beta) < 0$ or is zero. In particular, if $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}_X$ then $q_X(\alpha, .)$ is positive on $\mathcal{C}_X$. Moreover, if $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}_X$ then $\int \alpha^{2n-1}\beta > 0$ for $\beta \in \mathcal{C}_X$. Indeed, if not then one could find $\beta \in \mathcal{C}_X$ with $\int \alpha^{2n-1}\beta = 0$, i.e. $\beta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R})_{F(\alpha)}$, which would imply $q_X(\beta) < 0$. This is absurd.

1.11 — For the following we refer to Fujiki's paper [21] but we also wish to draw the reader's attention to the more recent preprint of Looijenga and Lunts [36].

For any integral class $\alpha \in H^{2j}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ one has the form of degree $2n - j$ that sends $\beta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ to $\int \alpha\beta^{2n-j} \in \mathbb{Z}$. E.g. for $j = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$ this is $v(\beta) = \int \beta^{2n}$. Fujiki shows that for any $\alpha \in H^{4j}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ contained in the subalgebra generated by the Chern classes of X there exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$\int \alpha\beta^{2(n-j)} = cq_X(\beta)^{n-j} \text{ for any } \beta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}). \tag{2}$$

In particular, for $j = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$ this yields $v(\beta) = \int \beta^{2n} = cq_X(\beta)^n$ and in this case one has $c > 0$. In fact, the result can be slightly generalized to all classes α which are of type $(2j, 2j)$ on all small deformations of X.

As an application of (2) one has that the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch formula on an irreducible symplectic manifold takes the following form: If L is a line bundle on X then

$$\chi(L) = \sum \frac{a_i}{(2i)!} q_X(c_1(L))^i,$$

where the a_i's are constants only depending on X. Indeed, $\chi(L) = \sum \int ch_i(L)td_{2n-i}(X)$ and $(2i)!ch_{2i}(L) = c_1(L)^{2i}$. Since $td_i(X)$ is a polynomial in the Chern classes, one has $td_i(X) = 0$ for $i \equiv 1(2)$ (use $c_i(X) = 0$ for i odd) and $c_1(L)^{2i}td_{2n-2i} = a_i q_X(c_1(L))^i$. This will be crucial in the proof of Theorem 4.6.

Another application of the relation between $q_X(\alpha)$ and $v(\alpha)$ is the fact that if X is irreducible symplectic, α a Kähler class, and $Y \subset X$ an effective divisor, then $q_X(\alpha, [Y]) > 0$. One possible proof goes as follows: If $[Y] \in \mathcal{C}_X$ then certainly $q_X(\alpha, [Y]) > 0$ by the Hodge

Index Theorem. Since $\int_Y \alpha^{2n-1} > 0$ and hence $\int \alpha^{2n-1}(-[Y]) < 0$, the case $-[Y] \in \mathcal{C}_X$ can be excluded (cf. 1.10). Thus it remains to verify the claim for $q_X([Y]) \leq 0$. Of course, $q_X(\alpha, [Y]) > 0$ if and only if $q_X(\alpha + [Y]) > q_X(\alpha) + q_X([Y])$. Thus it suffices to show $q_X(\alpha + [Y]) > q_X(\alpha)$. Replacing α by $k\beta$ with $k \gg 0$ and β fix, this is equivalent to $\int (k\beta + [Y])^{2n} = cq_X(k\beta + [Y])^n > cq_X(k\beta)^n = \int (k\beta)^{2n}$. Since $\int_Y \beta^{2n-1} > 0$, this follows immediately.

1.12 — A *deformation* of a compact manifold X is a smooth proper holomorphic map $\mathcal{X} \to S$, where S is an analytic space and the fibre over a distinguished point $0 \in S$ is isomorphic to X. We will say that a certain property holds for the *generic* fibre, if for an open (in the analytic topology) dense set $U \subset S$ and all $t \in U$ the fibre $\mathcal{X}_t$ has this property. The property holds for the *general* fibre if such a set U exists that is the complement of the union of countably many nowhere dense closed (in the analytic topology) subsets.

One knows that for any compact Kähler manifold X there exists a *semi-universal* deformation $\mathcal{X} \to Def(X)$, where $Def(X)$ is a germ of an analytic space and the fibre $\mathcal{X}_0$ over $0 \in Def(X)$ is isomorphic to X. The *Zariski tangent space* of $Def(X)$ is naturally isomorphic to $H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$. If $H^0(X, \mathcal{T}_X) = 0$, i.e. if X does not allow infinitesimal automorphisms, then $\mathcal{X} \to Def(X)$ is universal, i.e. for any deformation $\mathcal{X}_S \to S$ of X there exists a uniquely determined holomorphic map $S \to Def(X)$ such that $\mathcal{X}_S \cong \mathcal{X} \times_{Def(X)} S$. By a result of Tian [48] and Todorov [49] the base space $Def(X)$ is smooth if $K_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ (for an algebraic proof of this result we refer to [31] and [44], see also [7] for irreducible symplectic manifolds). In this case one says that X deforms *unobstructed*.

Hence, if X is an irreducible symplectic manifold then there exists a universal deformation $\mathcal{X} \to Def(X)$ of X with $Def(X)$ smooth of dimension $h^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X) = h^1(X, \Omega_X) = h^{1,1}(X)$. Note that any small deformation of an irreducible symplectic manifold is irreducible symplectic ([2], see also 2.4). Also note that the universal deformation $\mathcal{X} \to Def(X)$ is in fact universal for any fibre $\mathcal{X}_t$ with t close to 0.

1.13 — Let α be a Kähler class on an irreducible symplectic manifold X and let (M, g) be the underlying hyperkähler manifold. As briefly explained above, there exists a sphere $S^2 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ of complex structures on M induced by the hyperkähler metric g. This gives rise to a compact manifold $\mathcal{X}(\alpha)$ and a smooth holomorphic map

$$\mathcal{X}(\alpha) \to \mathbb{P}^1$$

such that for any $\lambda \in S^2 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ the fibre over λ is isomorphic to (M, λ). In particular, X occurs as the fibre over I. The space $\mathcal{X}(\alpha)$ together with the projection is called the *twistor space* of the hyperkähler manifold (M, λ). For more details and the precise relation between the metric g and the twistor space see [27].

The twistor space $\mathcal{X}(\alpha) \to \mathbb{P}^1$ induces a non-trivial (local) map $\mathbb{P}^1 \to Def(X)$ and hence a one-dimensional subspace of $H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X) \cong H^1(X, \Omega_X)$. Fujiki [21] shows that this subspace is spanned by α. In other words, the Kodaira-Spencer class of the twistor space of X

with respect to the Kähler class α is identified with α under the isomorphism $H^1(X,\mathcal{T}_X) \cong H^1(X,\Omega_X)$ induced by the holomorphic two-form σ on X. Note that one can also prove the unobstructedness of X along this line: Any class in $\mathcal{K}_X \subset H^1(X,\Omega_X)$ can be realized as a Kodaira-Spencer class of a smooth curve and $\mathcal{K}_X$ is open in the real part $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $H^{1,1}(X)$ (cf. [21]).

1.14 — At several points in this article we will have to deal with joint deformations of an irreducible symplectic manifold X together with a line bundle L on X. Again, there exists a universal deformation $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{L}) \to \mathit{Def}(X,L)$, i.e. a deformation $\mathcal{X} \to \mathit{Def}(X,L)$ of $\mathcal{X}_0 = X$ and a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{X}$ with $\mathcal{L}_0 := \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_0} \cong L$ such that any other deformation $(\mathcal{X}_S,\mathcal{L}_S) \to S$ of this sort is isomorphic to the pull-back of $(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{L})$ via a uniquely determined map $S \to \mathit{Def}(X,L)$. The Zariski tangent space of $\mathit{Def}(X,L)$ is canonically isomorphic to $H^1(X,\mathcal{D}(L))$, where $\mathcal{D}(L)$ is the sheaf of differential operators on L of order ≤ 1. Using the symbol sequence and $H^1(X,\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ one shows $H^1(X,\mathcal{D}(L)) = \ker(c_1(L) : H^1(X,\mathcal{T}_X) \to H^2(X,\mathcal{O}_X))$. By 1.8 the contraction $c_1(L) : H^1(X,\mathcal{T}_X) \to H^2(X,\mathcal{O}_X) = \bar{\sigma}\cdot\mathbb{C}$ is surjective if $0 \neq c_1(L) \in H^1(X,\Omega_X)$. Moreover, in this case the space $\mathit{Def}(X,L)$ is a smooth hypersurface of $\mathit{Def}(X)$. Another consequence of 1.8 is that for two line bundles L and M with $c_1(L)$ and $c_1(M)$ linearly independent the two hypersurfaces $\mathit{Def}(X,L)$ and $\mathit{Def}(X,M)$ intersect transversally.

1.15 — Let Γ be a lattice of index $(3,b-3)$. By q_Γ we denote its quadratic form. A *marked* irreducible symplectic manifold is a tuple (X,φ) consisting of an irreducible symplectic manifold X and an isomorphism $\varphi : H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \cong \Gamma$ compatible with q_X and q_Γ. The *period* of (X,φ) is by definition the one-dimensional subspace $\varphi(H^{2,0}(X)) \subset \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}$ considered as a point in the projective space $\mathbb{P}(\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}})$. If $\mathcal{X} \to \mathit{Def}(X)$ is the universal deformation of $\mathcal{X}_0 = X$, then a marking φ of X naturally defines markings φ_t of all the fibres $\mathcal{X}_t$. Thus we can define the *period map*

$$\mathcal{P} : \mathit{Def}(X) \to \mathbb{P}(\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}})$$

as the map that takes t to the period of $(\mathcal{X}_t,\varphi_t)$. Note $\mathcal{P}$ is holomorphic. Its tangent map is given by the contraction

$$H^1(X,\mathcal{T}_X) \to \mathrm{Hom}(H^{2,0}(X),H^{1,1}(X)) \subset \mathrm{Hom}(H^{2,0}(X),H^2(X,\mathbb{C})/H^{2,0}(X)).$$

By 1.9 the holomorphic two-form σ on X satisfies $q_X(\sigma) = 0$ and $q_X(\sigma+\bar{\sigma}) > 0$. Hence the image of $\mathcal{P}$ is contained in the *period domain* $Q \subset \mathbb{P}(\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}})$ defined as $\{x \in \mathbb{P}(\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}) | q_\Gamma(x) = 0,\ q_\Gamma(x+\bar{x}) > 0\}$, which is an open (in the analytic topology) subset of the non-singular quadric defined by q_Γ.

Beauville proved in [2] the Local Torelli Theorem: *For any marked irreducible symplectic manifold (X,φ) the period map $\mathcal{P} : \mathit{Def}(X) \to Q$ is a local isomorphism.*

1.16 — Let (X, φ) be a marked irreducible symplectic manifold. For any $\alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ we define

$$S_\alpha := \{t \in Def(X) | q_\Gamma(\varphi(\alpha), \mathcal{P}(t)) = 0\},$$

i.e. S_α is the pull-back of the hyperplane defined by $q_\Gamma(\varphi(\alpha), \,.\,)$. By the properties of q_X, the set S_α is easily identified as the set of points $t \in Def(X)$ such that α is of type $(1,1)$ on $\mathcal{X}_t$. Analogously, if $\alpha \in H^{4n-2}(X, \mathbb{R})$, which can be considered as a linear form on $H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$, then

$$S_\alpha := \{t \in Def(X) | \alpha(\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{P}(t))) = 0\}.$$

Using the holomorphic Lefschetz theorem 1.7 one finds that S_α in this situation is the set of $t \in Def(X)$ such that α is of type $(2n-1, 2n-1)$ on the fibre $\mathcal{X}_t$.

Using the perfectness of the natural pairings 1.8 one proves the following results [2, 21]:

- For $0 \neq \alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ or $0 \neq \alpha \in H^{4n-2}(X, \mathbb{R})$ the set S_α is a smooth possibly empty hypersurface of $Def(X)$.
- If $0 \in S_\alpha$, i.e. $\alpha \in H^{1,1}(X)_\mathbb{R}$ respectively $\alpha \in H^{2n-1,2n-1}(X)_\mathbb{R}$, the tangent space $T_0 S_\alpha$ of S_α is the kernel of the surjection $\alpha : H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X) \to H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ respectively $\alpha : H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X) \to H^{2n}(X, \Omega_X^{2n-2})$.
- If $\alpha, \alpha' \in H^{1,1}(X)$ are linearly independent, then S_α and $S_{\alpha'}$ intersect transversally in $0 \in Def(X)$. The analogous statement holds true for linearly independent classes $\alpha, \alpha' \in H^{2n-1,2n-1}(X)$.
- If $\alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, then there exists a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{X}|_{S_\alpha}$ such that $c_1(\mathcal{L}_t) = \alpha$ for all $t \in S_\alpha$. Moreover, if $\alpha = c_1(L)$, then $S_\alpha = Def(X, L)$.

1.17 — In 1.13 we discussed the twistor space $\mathcal{X}(\alpha) \to \mathbb{P}^1$ of an irreducible symplectic manifold X endowed with a Kähler class α. The base of the twistor space can be identified via the period map as follows: Recall, $F(\alpha)_\mathbb{C} = \sigma \cdot \mathbb{C} \oplus \bar{\sigma} \cdot \mathbb{C} \oplus \alpha \cdot \mathbb{C}$. If φ is a marking of X, then the period map $\mathcal{P} : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}(\Gamma_\mathbb{C})$ defines an isomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^1$ with $Q \cap \mathbb{P}(\varphi(F(\alpha)_\mathbb{C}))$. Thus, $\mathbb{P}^1$, as the base space of the twistor space, is naturally identified with the quadric $T(\alpha) \subset \mathbb{P}(F(\alpha)_\mathbb{C})$ defined by $q_X(\beta) = 0$. In the sequel, we will denote the twistor space by $\mathcal{X}(\alpha) \to T(\alpha)$. This can be slightly generalized as follows. If α is just any class of type $(1,1)$ on X we define $T(\alpha) := Def(X) \cap \mathcal{P}^{-1}(\mathbb{P}(\varphi(F(\alpha)_\mathbb{C})))$ and $\mathcal{X}(\alpha)$ as the restriction of the universal family to $T(\alpha)$. Note that for a Kähler class α the space $T(\alpha)$ means the complete base $\mathbb{P}^1$ of the twistor space, but in general it is only defined as a closed subset of $Def(X)$.

Fujiki [20] proved the following very useful result: *If α is a Kähler class and $\mathcal{X}(\alpha) \to T(\alpha)$ is the associated twistor space, then the general fibre contains neither effective divisors nor curves.*

Indeed, the tangent space of the twistor space is spanned by the image v of α under the isomorphism $H^1(X, \Omega_X) \cong H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$. On the other hand, if $D \subset X$ is an effective divisor

and $C \subset X$ is a curve, then the tangent space of the hypersurface $S_{[D]}$ (respectively $S_{[C]}$) is the kernel of the map $H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X) \to H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ (respectively $H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X) \to H^{2n}(X, \Omega_X^{2n-2})$). Since up to scalar factors $\int_X([D] \cdot v)\sigma^n\bar{\sigma}^{n-2} = q_X(\alpha, [D]) > 0$ and $\int_X([C] \cdot v)\sigma = \int_C \alpha \neq 0$ (use 1.11 resp. 1.8 and that α is Kähler), the hypersurfaces $S_{[D]}$ and $S_{[C]}$ meet $T(\alpha)$ locally only in 0. Or equivalently, neither D nor C deforms in the twistor space. Since there are only countably many such classes $[D]$ and $[C]$, this proves the claim.

1.18 — Last but not least we fix the notation for the moduli space of marked irreducible symplectic manifolds. Let Γ be a lattice of index $(3, b-3)$, where $b \geq 3$. Then $\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma = \{(X, \varphi)\}/\sim$, where (X, φ) is a marked irreducible symplectic manifold (we usually also fix the dimension $2n$) and $(X, \varphi) \sim (X', \varphi')$ if and only if there exists an isomorphism $f : X \cong X'$ such that $f^* = \pm(\varphi^{-1} \circ \varphi')$. The Local Torelli Theorem ([2], 1.15) allows one to patch the local charts $Def(X)$. Thus $\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$ carries the structure of a non-separated (i.e. non-Hausdorff) complex manifold. The period map can be considered as a holomorphic map $\mathcal{P} : \mathfrak{M}_\Gamma \to Q \subset \mathbb{P}(\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}})$.

2 Examples

For the reader's convenience we collect the known examples of irreducible symplectic manifolds. In all cases the verification is reduced to the conditions of Definition 1.1 and not to an explicit construction of an irreducible hyperkähler metric. Explicit examples of irreducible hyperkähler metrics on compact manifolds would be highly desirable.

2.1. K3 surfaces. *A complex manifold of dimension two is irreducible symplectic if and only if it is a K3 surface.*

By definition a K3 surface is a compact connected surface with trivial canonical bundle and vanishing first Betti number. One can show that any K3 surface is deformation equivalent to a smooth quartic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^3$ and, therefore, simply connected. That a K3 surface is Kähler is due to Siu [47] (see also [1]). Examples of Guan [24] show that in higher dimensions not every simply connected holomorph-symplectic manifold is Kähler.

2.2. Hilbert schemes of K3 surfaces. *If S is a K3 surface, then* $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S)$ *is irreducible symplectic (cf. [2])*

By the Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S)$ we mean the Douady space of zero-dimensional subspaces $(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ of S of length $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_Z = n$. Strictly speaking, $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S)$ is a scheme only if S is algebraic. In general, it is just a complex space. Using that S is smooth, compact, connected, and of dimension two, one shows that $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S)$ is a smooth compact connected manifold of dimension $2n$. By results of Varouchas [50] the Hilbert scheme is Kähler if the underlying surface is Kähler which is the case for K3 surfaces. Beauville then concluded that for any K3 surface S the Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S)$ is irreducible symplectic by showing that $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S)$

admits a unique (up to scalars) everywhere non-degenerate holomorphic two-form and that it is simply connected. For $n = 2$ this result was also obtained by Fujiki. It is interesting to note that for $n > 1$ one has $b_2(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S)) = 23$. Moreover, the second cohomology $H^2(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S), \mathbb{Z})$ endowed with the natural quadratic form q_X (cf. 1.9) is isomorphic to the lattice $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus (-2(n-1) \cdot \mathbb{Z})$.

2.3. Generalized Kummer varieties. *If A is a two-dimensional torus, then $\mathrm{K}^{n+1}(A)$ is irreducible symplectic (cf. [2]).*

The generalized Kummer variety $\mathrm{K}^{n+1}(A)$ is by definition the fibre over $0 \in A$ of the natural morphism $\mathrm{Hilb}^{n+1}(A) \to S^{n+1}(A) \xrightarrow{\Sigma} A$, where Σ is the summation and $0 \in A$ is the zero-point of the torus. $\mathrm{Hilb}^{n+1}(A)$ itself also admits an everywhere non-degenerate two-form, but neither is this two-form unique nor is $\mathrm{Hilb}^{n+1}(A)$ simply connected. That both conditions are satisfied for $\mathrm{K}^{n+1}(A)$ was shown by Beauville. That $\mathrm{K}^{n+1}(A)$ is Kähler follows again from the results in [50]. The second Betti number of $\mathrm{K}^{n+1}(A)$ is 7 (cf. [2]).

I usually refer to the examples provided by the Hilbert schemes of K3 surfaces and by the generalized Kummer varieties as the two standard series of examples of irreducible symplectic manifolds. Note that by means of these examples we have in any real dimension $4n$ at least two different compact real manifolds admitting irreducible hyperkähler metrics. That they are not diffeomorphic (in fact, not even homeomorphic) follows from a comparison of their second Betti numbers.

2.4. New examples by deformation. *Any small deformation of an irreducible symplectic manifold is irreducible symplectic (cf. [2]).*

The stability results in [35] say that any small deformation of a compact Kähler manifold is again Kähler. Since the Hodge number $h^{2,0}$ is constant in families of compact Kähler manifolds, any small deformation of an irreducible symplectic manifold admits a unique non-trivial non-degenerate two-form which is everywhere non-degenerate. In fact, using the splitting theorem one can show that any Kähler deformation of an irreducible symplectic manifold is again irreducible symplectic. For the details see [2]. It seems to be an open problem if any deformation of an irreducible symplectic manifold is Kähler and, therefore, irreducible symplectic. Deforming the Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S)$ of a K3 surface or the generalized Kummer variety $\mathrm{K}^{n+1}(A)$ provides new examples of irreducible symplectic manifolds. Indeed, for $n > 1$ one has $\dim \mathit{Def}(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S)) = h^{1,1}(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S)) = h^{1,1}(S) + 1 = \dim \mathit{Def}(S) + 1 (= 21)$ and $\dim \mathit{Def}(\mathrm{K}^{n+1}(A)) = h^{1,1}(\mathrm{K}^{n+1}(A)) = h^{1,1}(A) + 1 = \dim \mathit{Def}(A) + 1 (= 5)$. Thus one can think of the deformations of $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S)$ that remain Hilbert schemes as a hypersurface in the full deformation space of $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S)$. An analogous result holds true for Kummer varieties.

2.5. New examples by birational transformation. *If a compact Kähler manifold admits an everywhere non-degenerate two-form and is birational to an irreducible symplectic manifold, then it is irreducible symplectic as well.*

This follows easily from the observation that $\pi_1(X) = \pi_1(Y)$ and $h^{2,0}(X) = h^{2,0}(Y)$ for two birational compact manifolds X and Y. Can one drop the assumption that the manifold is Kähler? Or equivalently, is any compact manifold that is birational to an irreducible symplectic manifold and that admits an everywhere non-degenerate two-form, automatically Kähler? Note also the following

Lemma 2.6 — *If X and X' are birational irreducible symplectic manifolds, then there exists a natural isomorphism $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(X',\mathbb{Z})$ compatible with the Hodge structures and the quadratic forms q_X and $q_{X'}$ [17, 40, 42].*

Proof. We provide three slightly different descriptions of this isomorphism. First, let us fix maximal open subsets $U \subset X$ and $U' \subset X'$ such that $U \cong U'$ and $\operatorname{codim}(X \setminus U), \operatorname{codim}(X' \setminus U') \geq 2$ (see the remarks after 4.4). Then one defines the isomorphism as the composition $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(U,\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(U',\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(X',\mathbb{Z})$. Since these isomorphisms commute with $H^0(X,\Omega_X^2) \cong H^0(U,\Omega_U^2) \cong H^0(U',\Omega_{U'}^2) \cong H^0(X',\Omega_{X'}^2)$, the isomorphism $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(X',\mathbb{Z})$ is compatible with the Hodge structures.

The second description is in terms of the closure of the graph $Z \subset X \times X'$ of the birational map $X - - \to X'$. Then $[Z]_* : H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(X',\mathbb{Z})$, defined by $\alpha \mapsto p'_*([Z].p^*\alpha)$, equals the above isomorphism. We also write $[Z]_*$ for the map $\beta \mapsto p_*([Z].p'^*\beta) \in H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$. Using the first description, one finds $[Z]_* \circ [Z]_* = \mathrm{id}$. At one point in the discussion later on we will need $q'_X([Z]_*\alpha,\beta) = q_X(\alpha,[Z]_*\beta)$, which follows easily from the compatibility with the quadratic form, proved below, and $[Z]_* \circ [Z]_* = \mathrm{id}$.

Yet another description goes as follows: Let $\tilde{Z} \to Z$ be a desingularization. Then $H^2(\tilde{Z},\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \oplus \bigoplus_i [E_i]\cdot\mathbb{Z}$ and $H^2(\tilde{Z},\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(X',\mathbb{Z}) \oplus \bigoplus_i [E_i]\cdot\mathbb{Z}$, where the E_i's are the exceptional divisors of $\tilde{Z} \to X$ (or, equivalently, of $\tilde{Z} \to X'$). The isomorphism $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(X',\mathbb{Z})$ is then given as the composition of the natural inclusion followed by the projection.

In either of the three descriptions one sees that the isomorphism maps the class of an effective divisor in X to the class of an effective divisor in X'.

To see the compatibility with the quadratic forms q_X and $q_{X'}$, let σ and σ' be two-forms on X and X', respectively, with $\int_X (\sigma\bar{\sigma})^n = 1 = \int_{X'} (\sigma'\bar{\sigma}')^n$. Their pull-backs to $\tilde{Z}$ coincide. Using the description of q_X and $q_{X'}$ given in 1.9, one finds that both can be defined on $\tilde{Z}$ and that via $[Z]_*$ they coincide if $\sigma^{n-1}|_{E_i} = 0$. The latter equality now follows from the observation that the fibers (which are of positive dimension) of the morphisms $E_i \to X$ and $E_i \to X'$ are different and, hence, the rank of σ (or σ') on E_i drops at least by two. □

There exist non-trivial birational transformations: Mukai introduced the notion of *elementary transformations* [39]: Let X be an irreducible symplectic manifold and assume that a smooth $\mathbb{P}^m$-bundle $P := \mathbb{P}(F) \xrightarrow{\phi} Y$ can be embedded into X as a submanifold of codimension m. Then $\mathcal{N}_{P/X} \cong \Omega_\phi$ and, hence, the exceptional divisor of the blow-up $\tilde{X} \to X$ of X along P is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_\phi)$. Regarding $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_\phi)$ as the incidence variety in $\mathbb{P}(F) \times_Y \mathbb{P}(F^\vee)$ yields another projection $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_\phi) \to \mathbb{P}(F^\vee)$. Using the blow-down criterion of Fujiki and Nakano one

extends this projection to a blow-down $\tilde{X} \to X'(:= elm_P(X))$. It is not hard to see that X' also admits a unique everywhere non-degenerate two-form and that X' is simply connected. If X' is Kähler (is this always true?) then it is also irreducible symplectic.

Example 2.7 — First, let us recall an example of Beauville [3]: If $S \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is a smooth quartic hypersurface, then the generic line $\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ meets S in four distinct points. Thus one defines a rational map $\mathrm{Hilb}^2(S) - - \to \mathrm{Hilb}^2(S)$ by sending $[Z] \in \mathrm{Hilb}^2(S)$ to $(\ell_Z \cap S) \setminus \{Z\}$, where ℓ_Z is the uniquely defined line through Z. If S does not contain any line then this map extends to an automorphism of $\mathrm{Hilb}^2(S)$. On the other hand, if S contains k lines $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_k$, then $\mathrm{Hilb}^2(S) - - \to \mathrm{Hilb}^2(S)$ is the elementary transformation along the naturally embedded planes $\mathbb{P}^2 \cong \mathrm{Hilb}^2(\ell_i) \subset \mathrm{Hilb}^2(S)$. But, not any elementary transformation of an irreducible symplectic manifold X is again isomorphic to X! One should rather think of Beauville's example as an exception. However, it is usually not easy to show that $X' = elm_P(X)$ is not isomorphic to X. One explicit example was given by Debarre in [15]:

Let S be a K3 surface such that $\mathrm{Pic}(S) = \mathcal{O}(C) \cdot \mathbb{Z}$, where C is a (-2)-curve. The Hilbert scheme $X := \mathrm{Hilb}^n(S)$ contains the projective space $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(C) \cong S^n(C) \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ as above. Let X' be the elementary transformation of X along this projective space. If X and X' were isomorphic, then this would yield a birational automorphism of X. Debarre then shows that, due to the special shape of the surface S, the induced map on the second cohomology would be trivial and that this implies that the birational automorphism can be extended to an isomorphism, which is absurd. Due to 2.6 the two manifolds X and X' have isomorphic periods, but in order to obtain an honest counterexample to the Global Torelli Theorem one has in addition to ensure that X' is Kähler. This is not clear in general, but if S is close to an algebraic K3 surface S_0 as in the example above, then X' is close to $elm_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\mathrm{Hilb}(S_0)) \cong \mathrm{Hilb}^n(S_0)$. But any small deformation of a Kähler manifold is Kähler; hence there are examples for which X' is Kähler. Note that in Debarre's example the manifolds are not projective. It would be interesting to find also a projective counterexample to the Global Torelli Theorem. Good candidates are the moduli space of stable sheaves on a K3 surface and the Hilbert scheme of the surface, which in some cases are birational, but most likely not isomorphic (cf. 2.10).

The following examples are related to one of the standard series by deformation or birational transformation as described above. In particular, the proof that they are irreducible symplectic is reduced to the proof of this fact for either a Hilbert scheme or a Kummer variety.

2.8. Fano varieties of cubics [4]. Let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ be a smooth cubic hypersurface and let $X := F(Y)$ be the Fano variety of lines on Y. Then X is smooth and projective of dimension 4. All cubics are deformation equivalent and, hence, so are the corresponding Fano varieties. Beauville and Donagi showed that for a special cubic Y the Fano variety $X = F(Y)$ is isomorphic to the Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}^2(S)$ of a special K3 surface of degree 14 in $\mathbb{P}^8$. Hence, for an arbitrary cubic Y the Fano variety $X = F(Y)$ is a deformation

of $\mathrm{Hilb}^2(S)$ and, therefore, irreducible symplectic. The map $Y \mapsto F(Y)$ identifies $Def(Y)$ with a hypersurface of $Def(X)$ parametrizing algebraic deformations of $\mathrm{Hilb}^2(S)$. It would be interesting to understand the space of algebraic deformations of $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S)$ for other K3 surfaces.

2.9. Relative Jacobians [37]. Markushevich constructed an explicit example of a projective irreducible symplectic manifold which is completely integrable: Let $\pi : S \to \mathbb{P}^2$ be a generic double cover ramified along a sextic. Then S is a K3 surface. The dual space $\mathbb{P}^{2\vee}$ can be regarded as the base space of the family of hyperelliptic curves (of genus 2) of the form $\pi^{-1}(\ell)$, where $\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is a line. Then the compactified relative Jacobian $X \to \mathbb{P}^{2\vee}$ of this family of curves is smooth projective and admits an everywhere non-degenerate two-form. Since the map that sends $[Z] \in \mathrm{Hilb}^2(S)$ to the divisor (Z) on the curve $\pi^{-1}(\ell_{\pi(Z)})$, where $\ell_{\pi(Z)}$ is the line through $\pi(Z)$, defines a birational map $\mathrm{Hilb}^2(S) - - \to X$, the manifold X is irreducible symplectic. In fact, X can be seen as an example of a moduli space of simple sheaves (or rather stable sheaves with pure support as treated below). Similar examples were considered by Beauville and Mukai. But, as it is not surprising, in all cases the resulting spaces are birational to some Hilbert scheme of the underlying K3 surfaces.

2.10. Moduli spaces of sheaves on K3 surfaces. Let S be a K3 surface. Consider $M_H(v)$ – the moduli space of sheaves with primitive Mukai vector v which are stable with respect to a generic polarization H (see [42] or [29] for the notation). This space is a projective variety and by the general smoothness criterion it is non-singular. Note that also $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S)$ can be considered as such a moduli space, namely the moduli space of stable rank one sheaves. Mukai [39] constructed on $M_H(v)$ an everywhere non-degenerate two-form. Later it was shown that $M_H(v)$ is indeed irreducible symplectic (in [23] for the rank two case and in [42] for arbitrary rank). The proof in both cases goes roughly as follows: Any smooth deformation of (S, H) induces a deformation of $M_H(v)$ which is smooth as long as H stays v-generic. Using this one reduces the proof to the case of a very special K3 surface (e.g. an elliptic surface in [42]), where one can show that the moduli space is birational to the Hilbert scheme of the same dimension. Hence we have: *The moduli spaces $M_H(v)$ are irreducible symplectic manifolds whenever v is primitive and H is v-generic.*

3 Projectivity

Let X be an irreducible symplectic manifold. The goal of this section is to show that the second cohomology $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ endowed with the natural weight-two Hodge structure and the quadratic form q_X (cf. 1.9) determines whether X is a projective variety. The following list collects known projectivity criteria that either motivate the main result (Theorem 3.11) or are essential for its proof.

3.1 — Let X be a compact complex manifold. Then X is projective if and only if X is Kähler and Moishezon (cf. [41], [43]).

3.2 — Let X be a compact complex manifold. Then X is projective if and only if X admits a Kähler form ω such that its cohomology class $[\omega] \in H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ is integral (cf. [33], [22]).

3.3 — Let X be a compact complex surface. Then X is projective if and only if X is Moishezon (cf. [32], [10]).

3.4 — Let X be a compact complex surface. Then X is projective if and only if there exists a line bundle L on X such that $\int c_1^2(L) > 0$ (cf. [10]).

It is easy to see that a surface X that admits a line bundle L with $\int c_1^2(L) > 0$ is Moishezon. Indeed, the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch formula shows that either $h^0(X, L^m) \sim m^2$ or $h^0(X, L^{-m} \otimes K_X) \sim m^2$. For manifolds of dimension > 2 the existence of a big line bundle L, i.e. a line bundle with $\int c_1(L)^{\dim(X)} > 0$, is not sufficient to conclude that X is Moishezon, for the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch formula only gives $\sum h^{2i}(X, L^m) \sim m^{\dim(X)}$.

Let us begin with a result due to Fujiki [20] (see also [13]), which in particular shows that projective irreducible symplectic manifolds are dense in the moduli space. Some of the techniques used in later chapters are based on the proof of this result. Thus, we decided to reproduce it here.

Theorem 3.5 [20]— *Let X be an irreducible symplectic manifold, let $0 \in S \subset Def(X)$ be a smooth analytic subset of positive dimension and let $\mathcal{X} \to S$ denote the restriction of the Kuranishi family to S. Then any open neighbourhood $U \subset S$ of $0 \in S$ contains a point $t \neq 0$ such that the fibre $\mathcal{X}_t$ over t is projective.*

Proof. We may assume that S is one-dimensional. Then, its tangent space at zero T_0S is a line in $T_0Def(X) = H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$ spanned by, say, $0 \neq v \in H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$. By 1.8 the induced map $\tilde{v} : H^1(X, \Omega_X) \to H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathbb{C}$ is surjective. Since the Kähler cone $\mathcal{K}_X$ is an open subset of $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} = H^{1,1}(X) \cap H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$, which spans $H^1(X, \Omega_X)$ as a complex vector space, there exists a Kähler form ω on X such that $\tilde{v}([\omega]) \neq 0$. Consider the corresponding hypersurface $S_{[\omega]} \subset Def(X)$ of deformations of X where $[\omega]$ stays of type $(1,1)$ (cf. 1.16). The tangent space of $S_{[\omega]}$ at 0 is the kernel of the map $H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X) \to H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathbb{C}$ induced by the product with $[\omega] \in H^1(X, \Omega_X)$. Since $\tilde{v}([\omega]) \neq 0$, the tangent spaces $T_0S_{[\omega]}$ and T_0S have zero intersection. Hence, $S_{[\omega]}$ and S meet transversally in $0 \in Def(X)$. Shrinking S we can also assume that $S_{[\omega]} \cap S = \{0\}$.

Next, pick classes $\alpha_i \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ converging to $[\omega]$ and consider the associated hypersurfaces $S_{\alpha_i} \subset Def(X)$. Then the hypersurfaces S_{α_i} converge to $S_{[\omega]}$ and therefore $S_{\alpha_i} \cap S \neq \emptyset$ for $i \gg 0$. Moreover, if we choose α_i such that they are not of type $(1,1)$ on X, then $0 \notin S_{\alpha_i} \cap S$. Hence, there exist points $t_i \in (S_{\alpha_i} \cap S) \setminus \{0\}$ converging to 0. Using the isomorphism $H^2(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, the classes α_i are considered as rational classes of type $(1,1)$ on $\mathcal{X}_{t_i}$. Intuitively, the classes α_i on $\mathcal{X}_{t_i}$ converge to the Kähler class $[\omega]$ on $X = \mathcal{X}_0$ and thus should be Kähler for $i \gg 0$. This can be made rigorous as follows: Fix a diffeomorphism $\mathcal{X} \cong X \times S$

compatible with the projections to S. By a result of Kodaira and Spencer (Thm. 3.1 in [34], Thm. 15 in [35]) there exists a real two-form $\tilde{\omega}$ on $X \times S$ such that the restriction $\tilde{\omega}_t$ of $\tilde{\omega}$ to $\mathcal{X}_t = X \times \{t\}$ is a Kähler form for all $t \in S$ and $\tilde{\omega}_0 = \omega$. One also finds two-forms $\tilde{\omega}_i$ on $X \times S$ such that $(\tilde{\omega}_i)_t$ is harmonic with respect to $\tilde{\omega}_t$ and $[(\tilde{\omega}_i)_t] = \alpha_i$ (Sect. 2 in [34]). In particular, $(\tilde{\omega}_i)_{t_i}$ is a harmonic $(1,1)$-form on $\mathcal{X}_{t_i}$ representing α_i. Since $(\tilde{\omega}_i)_{t_i}$ converges to ω, the harmonic $(1,1)$-form $(\tilde{\omega}_i)_{t_i}$ is a Kähler form on $\mathcal{X}_{t_i}$ for $i \gg 0$. Hence, α_i is a Hodge class on $\mathcal{X}_{t_i}$ for $i \gg 0$. In particular, $\mathcal{X}_{t_i}$ is projective for $i \gg 0$. □

For the proof of the main result of this section we need to recall some facts about positive forms and currents. Let X be an irreducible symplectic manifold and let ω be a fixed Kähler form on X. By $\mathcal{A}^{p,p}(X)$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}^{p,p}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$) we denote the space of smooth (real) (p,p)-forms on X. A form $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^{p,p}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is called *positive* if locally it can be written in the form $i\alpha_1 \wedge \bar{\alpha}_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge i\alpha_p \wedge \bar{\alpha}_p$, where the α_i's are smooth $(1,0)$-forms. For $p = 2n-1$ we introduce the convex cone $C_{pos} \subset \mathcal{A}^{2n-1,2n-1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ that is spanned by the positive forms.

Remark 3.6 — Of course, ω itself is a positive $(1,1)$-form. Conversely, if φ is a d-closed positive $(1,1)$-form, then $\varphi + \varepsilon\omega$ is a Kähler form for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

For the next lemma recall that σ^{n-1} defines an isomorphism of complex bundles $T_X^{*\,1,0} \cong T_X^{*\,2n-1,0}$ (use the argument in 1.7). Analogously, $\bar{\sigma}^{n-1}$ defines an isomorphism $T_X^{*\,0,1} \cong T_X^{*\,0,2n-1}$. Passing to form valued sections of these bundles one obtains isomorphisms ($p \geq 0$):

$$\sigma^{n-1} : \mathcal{A}^{1,p}(X) \cong \mathcal{A}^{2n-1,p}(X) \text{ and } \bar{\sigma}^{n-1} : \mathcal{A}^{p,1}(X) \cong \mathcal{A}^{p,2n-1}(X)$$

and, since $\sigma\bar{\sigma}$ is real, also $(\sigma\bar{\sigma})^{n-1} : \mathcal{A}^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathcal{A}^{2n-1,2n-1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Lemma 3.7 — *Let $\psi \in \mathcal{A}^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. If $(\sigma\bar{\sigma})^{n-1}\psi \in \mathcal{A}^{2n-1,2n-1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is positive, then also ψ is positive.*

Proof. If $(\sigma\bar{\sigma})^{n-1}\psi$ is positive it can locally be written as

$$\begin{aligned}(\sigma\bar{\sigma})^{n-1}\psi &= i\alpha_1 \wedge \bar{\alpha}_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge i\alpha_{2n-1} \wedge \bar{\alpha}_{2n-1} \\ &= i^{2n-1}(-1)^{(2n-1)(n-1)}\alpha_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \alpha_{2n-1} \wedge \bar{\alpha}_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \bar{\alpha}_{2n-1}.\end{aligned}$$

First, observe that $i^{2n-1}(-1)^{(2n-1)(n-1)} = i$. Second, there exists a $(1,0)$-form β such that $\sigma^{n-1} \wedge \beta = \alpha_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \alpha_{2n-1}$. Hence, $(\sigma\bar{\sigma})^{n-1}\psi = i(\sigma\bar{\sigma})^{n-1}(\beta \wedge \bar{\beta})$. Therefore, $\psi = i\beta \wedge \bar{\beta}$, i.e. ψ is positive. □

The choice of the Kähler form ω induces a Hodge decomposition

$$\mathcal{A}^{p,p}(X) = \mathcal{H}^{p,p}(X) \oplus (\mathrm{im}(d) \oplus \mathrm{im}(d^*)) \cap \mathcal{A}^{p,p}(X).$$

The space $\mathcal{H}^{p,p}(X)$ of harmonic (p,p)-forms is naturally isomorphic to $H^{p,p}(X)$. Since $\sigma\bar{\sigma} = \omega_J^2 + \omega_K^2$ is harmonic, where (I,J,K) is the hyperkähler structure associated with (ω, I) (cf. 1.3), the isomorphism in 1.7 can be understood as the isomorphism given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} & \cong & \mathcal{H}^{2n-1,2n-1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \\ \alpha & \mapsto & (\sigma\bar{\sigma})^{n-1}\alpha \end{array}$$

By definition a $(1,1)$-*current* T is a continuous linear map $\mathcal{A}^{2n-1,2n-1}(X) \to \mathbb{C}$. The topology on $\mathcal{A}^{2n-1,2n-1}(X)$ is the one induced by the Hodge metric. T is *positive* if $T(\varphi) \geq 0$ for all $\varphi \in C_{pos}$. The current T is *closed* if $T(d\gamma) = 0$ for all γ or, equivalently, if T factorizes over $\mathcal{A}^{2n-1,2n-1}(X)/(\mathrm{im}(d) \cap \mathcal{A}^{2n-1,2n-1}(X))$. Any closed $(1,1)$-current T gives rise to a cohomology class $[T] \in H^{1,1}(X)$ which is given by restricting T to $\mathcal{H}^{2n-1,2n-1}(X)$ and identifying the dual space of $\mathcal{H}^{2n-1,2n-1}(X)$ with $\mathcal{H}^{1,1}(X)$. A current T is *real* if it is the natural extension of a continuous linear map $\mathcal{A}^{2n-1,2n-1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 3.8 — *Let X be an irreducible symplectic manifold and let $\alpha \in H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $q_X(\alpha, .)$ is positive on the Kähler cone $\mathcal{K}_X$. Then there exists a closed positive real $(1,1)$-current T such that $[T] = \alpha$.*

Proof. The proof is inspired by an argument of Peternell in [43].

Let $A := \mathcal{A}^{2n-1,2n-1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}/(\mathrm{im}(d) \cap \mathcal{A}^{2n-1,2n-1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}})$, let C be the image of C_{pos} under the projection $\mathcal{A}^{2n-1,2n-1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to A$, and let $B := \mathcal{H}^{2n-1,2n-1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Using Hodge decomposition one has $A = \mathcal{H}^{2n-1,2n-1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus (\mathrm{im}(d^*) \cap \mathcal{A}^{2n-1,2n-1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}})$. Thus B can be considered as a (finite dimensional) subspace of A. The class α defines a continuous linear map $f_0 : B \to \mathbb{R}$. A closed positive real $(1,1)$-current T with $[T] = \alpha$ is a continuous linear extension $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$ of f_0 with $f|_C \geq 0$.

The existence of such an extension is due to the following general fact: If A is a topological vector space, $B \subset A$ a subspace, and $C \subset A$ a convex cone such that $C \cap (-C) = 0$ and $B \cap \overset{\circ}{C} \neq \emptyset$, then any continuous linear function $f_0 : B \to \mathbb{R}$ with $f_0|_{B\cap C} \geq 0$ can be extended to a continuous linear function $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$ with $f|_C \geq 0$. ([11], Ch.II, Sect. 3).

Let us now verify the assumptions in our situation: Clearly, C is a convex cone as it is the image of C_{pos}. If $\varphi, -\varphi' \in C_{pos}$ with $\varphi = \varphi' + d\gamma$ then the positivity of ω implies $0 \leq \int \varphi\omega = \int \varphi'\omega \leq 0$ and hence $\int \varphi\omega = \int \varphi'\omega = 0$. Since ω is Kähler, we get $\varphi = \varphi' = 0$. Therefore, $C \cap (-C) = 0$. The intersection $B \cap \overset{\circ}{C}$ is not empty as it contains the class ω^{2n-1} which is an inner point of C_{pos} (cf. [26]). Last but not least, one checks $f_0|_{B\cap C} \geq 0$. Indeed, if $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}^{2n-1,2n-1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is positive, then there exists $\psi \in \mathcal{H}^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ with $(\sigma\bar{\sigma})^{n-1}\psi = \varphi$ and ψ is positive by Lemma 3.7. Hence, $\psi + \varepsilon\omega$ is Kähler for all $\varepsilon > 0$. The assumption on α now implies $q_X(\alpha, [\psi + \varepsilon\omega]) > 0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and hence $q_X(\alpha, [\psi]) \geq 0$. Therefore, $\int \varphi\alpha = \int (\sigma\bar{\sigma})^{n-1}\psi\alpha = cq_X([\psi], \alpha) \geq 0$ for some positive constant c. Hence, $f_0(\varphi) \geq 0$. □

Remark 3.9 — *i)* Demailly [16] introduced the notion of pseudo-effective classes: A class $\alpha \in H^{1,1}(X)$ is called pseudo-effective if it can be represented by a closed positive $(1,1)$-

current. They span a closed cone $H^{1,1}_{psef}(X) \subset H^{1,1}(X)$. The proposition says that the cone dual to the Kähler cone is contained in $H^{1,1}_{psef}(X)$.

ii) By 1.10 any class $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_X$ is positive on $\mathcal{K}_X$. Hence $\mathcal{C}_X \subset H^{1,1}_{psef}(X)$. In fact, as $\mathcal{C}_X$ is an open cone, any class $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_X$ can be represented by a closed positive real $(1,1)$-current T such that $T - \varepsilon\omega$ is still positive for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

As an immediate consequence of [16] we obtain

Corollary 3.10 — *If X is a projective irreducible symplectic manifold and L is a line bundle such that $c_1(L) \in \mathcal{C}_X$, then L has maximal Kodaira-dimension, i.e. $h^0(L^k)$ grows like $c \cdot k^{2n}$ for some $c > 0$. In particular, $\mathcal{C}_X \cap H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is contained in the effective cone.* □

The main result of this section is the following

Theorem 3.11 — *Let X be an irreducible symplectic manifold. Then X is projective if and only if there exists a line bundle L on X with $q_X(c_1(L)) > 0$.*

Proof. If X is projective then $c_1(L)$ of an ample line bundle L is a Kähler class and, therefore, $q_X(c_1(L)) > 0$ (cf. 1.9).

Conversely, if X admits a line bundle L with $c_1(L) \in \mathcal{C}_X$, then there exists a closed $(1,1)$-current T representing $c_1(L)$ such that $T - \varepsilon\omega$ is positive for some $\varepsilon > 0$. By a result of Ji-Shiffman [30] and Bonavero [9] this implies that X is Moishezon and, since it is also Kähler, that it is projective. □

Remark 3.12 — *i)* The theorem only asserts that X is projective, but not that any line bundle L with positive square $q_X(c_1(L))$ is ample (this is not even true for surfaces). A criterion for the ampleness of a line bundle will be discussed in Sect. 6. However, if $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \cong L \cdot \mathbb{Z}$ with $q_X(c_1(L)) > 0$, then either L or $L^\vee$ is ample.

ii) In the original approach to prove the theorem I tried to avoid the result of Bonavero and Ji-Shiffman, which in turn relies on Demailly's very complicated holomorphic Morse inequalities. The argument should use the relatively easy Corollary 3.10 on projective deformations and some kind of semi-continuity argument. But there are still some technical problems. Also note that if one is willing to use the holomorphic Morse inequalities (or rather their singular version) then one can in fact see that Corollary 3.10 works without the projectivity assumption.

iii) The techniques above can also be used to prove a result for Kähler surfaces I was not aware of before and which I could not find in the literature. However, I believe that going through the classification of surfaces an easier proof, not using singular Morse inequalities, should exist. The result is: A compact Kähler surface is projective if and only if the dual of the Kähler cone, i.e. the elements with positive intersection with any Kähler class, contains an inner integral point.

4 Birational Manifolds

This section deals with the relation between birational irreducible symplectic manifolds and non-separated points in the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$ (cf. 1.18). Already in dimension two, i.e. for K3 surfaces, the moduli space of marked irreducible symplectic manifolds is not separated (i.e. non-Hausdorff). But there, two non-separated points always correspond to just one K3 surface with two different markings. The situation is more subtle in higher dimensions: One easily generalizes the 'Main Lemma' of Burns and Rapoport [12], the algebraic version of which is [38], to the effect that the underlying manifolds X and X' of two non-separated points $(X,\varphi),(X',\varphi') \in \mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$ are birational (Theorem 4.3). But contrary to the two-dimensional case, this does not imply that X and X' are isomorphic. In fact, it is a standard procedure to construct via certain birational transformations out of one irreducible symplectic manifold new ones (cf. 2.5). It is the goal of this section to prove that in general two birational irreducible symplectic manifolds correspond to non-separated points in $\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$ (Theorem 4.6). As the method is completely algebraic the result is limited to the case of projective manifolds (but see Sect. 10 for the relation between a conjectural Global Torelli Theorem and this result for non-projective manifolds). This part is a continuation of the predecessor [28] of this paper, where the result was proved under an additional assumption on the codimension of the exceptional locus. For some details of the proof we will refer to [28].

The result has two applications. The first, to be considered in this section, concerns the classification of known examples of irreducible symplectic manifolds (cf. Sect. 2). Corollary 4.9 shows that all known examples of irreducible symplectic manifolds are deformation equivalent to one of the two standard examples: to the Hilbert scheme of points on a K3 surface or to a generalized Kummer variety (see Sect. 2 for their definition). In particular, in any real dimension $4n > 4$ we know exactly two compact differentiable manifolds admitting an irreducible hyperkähler metric. (For $n = 1$ one knows that there exists exactly one: the real manifold underlying a K3 surface.) In the light of this result the list of examples of irreducible symplectic manifolds seems rather short. It might be noteworthy that the general results of this paper, e.g. the description of the Kähler cone 7.1, the projectivity criterion 3.11 or the surjectivity of the period map 8.1, are by no means trivial (or a direct consequence of the K3 surface theory) for the deformations of the two standard series $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S)$ and $\mathrm{K}^{n+1}(A)$. In fact, I do not see how one could possibly simplify the proofs for these special cases.

The second application concerns a conjectural 'Global Torelli Theorem' for higher dimensional irreducible symplectic manifolds. As this problem is intimately related to questions about the period map we postpone the discussion until Sect. 10.

Before going into the subject we say a few words about what 'non-separated' means in practice. To this end we formulate the following (almost tautological):

Lemma 4.1 — *Let X and X' be irreducible symplectic manifolds, let $\mathcal{X} \to S$ and $\mathcal{X}' \to S$ be deformations of X and X', respectively, and let $V \subset S$ be an open (in the analytic topology) non-empty subset such that i) S is one-dimensional and ii) $0 \in \partial V$, and iii)*

$\mathcal{X}|_V \cong \mathcal{X}'|_V$ *(compatible with the projections to* S*). Then there exist markings* φ *and* φ' *of* X *and* X'*, respectively, such that* $(X,\varphi),(X',\varphi') \in \mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$ *are non-separated. Conversely, if* $(X,\varphi),(X'\varphi') \in \mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$ *are two non-separated points in* $\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$*, then there exist deformations* $\mathcal{X} \to S$ *and* $\mathcal{X}' \to S$ *and* $V \subset S$ *satisfying i), ii), and iii) such that for* $t \in V$ *the natural isomorphisms* $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(\mathcal{X}_t,\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(\mathcal{X}'_t,\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(X',\mathbb{Z})$ *are compatible with* φ *and* φ'*.* □

Remark 4.2 — The arguments in the proof of Proposition 5.1 show that in part two of the lemma one can in fact arrange things such that $V = S \setminus \{0\}$. See Remark 5.3.

Let us now come to a straightforward generalization of the 'Main Lemma' in [12]. The proof follows closely Beauville's exposé in [1].

Theorem 4.3 — *If* $(X,\varphi),(X',\varphi') \in \mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$ *are non-separated points in the moduli space of marked irreducible symplectic manifolds, then* X *and* X' *are birational.*

Proof. Consider deformations $\mathcal{X} \to S$ and $\mathcal{X}' \to S$ of $\mathcal{X}_0 = X$ and $\mathcal{X}'_0 = X'$, respectively, and $V \subset S$ as in 4.1. In particular, we have for $t \in V$ the canonical isomorphism $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(\mathcal{X}_t,\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(\mathcal{X}'_t,\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(X',\mathbb{Z})$ which is compatible with φ and φ'. Pick a sequence $t_i \in V$ converging to $0 \in S$ and consider the corresponding isomorphisms $f_i : \mathcal{X}_{t_i} \cong \mathcal{X}'_{t_i}$ and their graphs $\Gamma_i \subset \mathcal{X}_{t_i} \times \mathcal{X}'_{t_i}$. By [34] there exist Kähler forms ω_t and ω'_t on the fibres $\mathcal{X}_t$, respectively $\mathcal{X}'_t$, for all $t \in S$ depending continuously (this is enough) on t. The volume of Γ_{t_i} with respect to these Kähler forms, can be computed by

$$\begin{aligned} vol(\Gamma_i) &= \int_{\mathcal{X}_{t_i}} (\omega_{t_i} + f_i^* \omega'_{t_i})^{2n} \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{X}_{t_i}} ([\omega_{t_i}] + f_i^*[\omega'_{t_i}])^{2n} \\ &= \int_X ([\omega_{t_i}] + ({\varphi'}^{-1} \circ \varphi)[\omega'_{t_i}])^{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

(Use $f_i^* = ({\varphi'}^{-1} \circ \varphi)$ via the isomorphisms $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(\mathcal{X}_t,\mathbb{Z})$ and $H^2(X',\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(\mathcal{X}'_t,\mathbb{Z})$.)

Hence $vol(\Gamma_i)$ converges to $\int_X ([\omega_0] + ({\varphi'}^{-1} \circ \varphi)[\omega'_0])^{2n} < \infty$. By a result of Bishop [5] the boundedness of the volume of Γ_i is enough to conclude the existence of a limit cycle $\Gamma_\infty \subset X \times X'$ with the same cohomological properties as the Γ_i's. In particular, $[\Gamma_\infty] \in H^{4n}(X \times X',\mathbb{Z})$ satisfies $p_*[\Gamma_\infty] = [X] \in H^0(X,\mathbb{Z})$; $p'_*[\Gamma_\infty] = [X'] \in H^0(X',\mathbb{Z})$; and $p'_*([\Gamma_\infty].p^*\alpha) = ({\varphi'}^{-1} \circ \varphi)(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$. Here, p and p' denote the two projections from $X \times X'$. Splitting Γ_∞ into its irreducible components and using the first two properties we have either

- $\Gamma_\infty = Z + \sum Y_i$, where $p : Z \to X$ and $p' : Z \to X'$ are generically one-to-one, or

- $\Gamma_\infty = Z + Z' + \sum Y_i$, where $p : Z \to X$ and $p' : Z' \to X'$ are generically one-to-one, but neither $p' : Z \to X'$ nor $p : Z' \to X$ is generically finite.

In both cases, $p_*[Y_i] = 0$ and $p'_*[Y_i] = 0$.

The second possibility can be excluded: If σ and σ' are non-trivial holomorphic two-forms on X and X' respectively, then $({\varphi'}^{-1} \circ \varphi)([\sigma]) = \lambda[\sigma']$ for some $\lambda \neq 0$. Indeed, since the period domain, i.e. the quadric $Q \subset \mathbb{P}(\Gamma_\mathbb{C})$, is separated, ${\varphi'}^{-1} \circ \varphi$ is compatible with the natural Hodge structures on $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^2(X', \mathbb{Z})$. If $\Gamma_\infty = Z + Z' + \sum Y_i$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \quad &\neq \quad \lambda \int_{X'} (\sigma'\bar{\sigma}')^n = \int_{X'} ({\varphi'}^{-1} \circ \varphi)[\sigma]({\sigma'}^{n-1}\bar{\sigma}'^n) \\ &= \quad \int_{X'} p'_*([\Gamma_\infty].p^*[\sigma])({\sigma'}^{n-1}\bar{\sigma}'^n) = \int_{X \times X'} [\Gamma_\infty].p^*[\sigma].{p'}^*[{\sigma'}^{n-1}\bar{\sigma}'^n] \\ &= \quad \int_Z p^*[\sigma].{p'}^*[{\sigma'}^{n-1}\bar{\sigma}'^n] + \int_{Z'} p^*[\sigma].{p'}^*[{\sigma'}^{n-1}\bar{\sigma}'^n] + \sum \int_{Y_i} p^*[\sigma].{p'}^*[{\sigma'}^{n-1}\bar{\sigma}'^n]. \end{aligned}$$

The first and third term vanish, because $p'(Z)$ and $p'(Y_i)$ are of dimension $< 2n$, but $\bar{\sigma}'^n$ is a $(0, 2n)$-form on X'. For simplicity assume Z' smooth (otherwise pass to a desingularization). Since $Z' \to X'$ is birational, $H^0(Z', \Omega^2_{Z'}) = {p'}^*(\sigma')|_{Z'} \cdot \mathbb{C}$. On the other hand, $p^*(\sigma)|_{Z'} \in H^0(Z', \Omega^2_{Z'})$. Since $p^*(\sigma)|_{Z'}$ is everywhere degenerate, but ${p'}^*(\sigma')|_{Z'}$ is at least generically non-degenerate, we must have $p^*(\sigma)|_{Z'} = 0$. Thus, also the second term vanishes. Contradiction.

Therefore, only the decomposition $\Gamma_\infty = Z + \sum Y_i$ can occur. Since $Z \to X$ and $Z \to X'$ are generically one-to-one, X and X' are birational. □

Note that the birational correspondence between X and X' constructed this way does not, in general, induce ${\varphi'}^{-1} \circ \varphi$ on H^2. The theorem (or rather its proof) has various interesting consequences and, with the proof still in mind, the reader may wish to have a look at Corollary 5.2 already at this point in the discussion.

Let us now come to the converse: Are two birational irreducible symplectic manifolds non-separated in their moduli space? Recall that for an appropriate choice of the markings the periods of two birational irreducible symplectic manifolds are equal (cf. 2.6). Therefore, their period points in $\mathbb{P}(\Gamma_\mathbb{C})$ coincide.

Let us consider the following situation:

4.4 — *i)* X and X' are irreducible symplectic manifolds. *ii)* X' is projective. *iii)* There exists a birational map $f : X - - \to X'$.

Let $U \subset X$ and $U' \subset X'$ be the maximal open subsets where f, respectively f^{-1}, are regular. Then $U \cong U'$ and $\operatorname{codim}(X \setminus U), \operatorname{codim}(X' \setminus U') \geq 2$. This is a general fact for varieties with nef canonical divisor, but see [28]. Since X is Kähler and birational to the projective manifold X', also X is projective (cf. 3.1). For the algebraic Picard groups we have

canonical isomorphisms $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(U) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(U') \cong \mathrm{Pic}(X')$. If $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ we denote by L' the associated line bundle on X', i.e. L' is the line bundle on X' such that $L|_U \cong L'|_{U'}$. In the sequel, L' will usually be ample. If L is ample as well, then the isomorphism $U \cong U'$ can be extended to an isomorphism of X and X' and there is nothing to show.

The following proposition was proved in [28].

Proposition 4.5 — *Under the assumptions 4.4, let L' be ample and let $\pi : (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) \to S$ be a deformation of $(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0) = (X, L)$ over a smooth one-dimensional base S. If for any $n \gg 0$ the dimension $h^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t^n)$ is constant in a neighbourhood of $0 \in S$, then, after shrinking S if necessary, there exists a deformation $(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{L}') \to S$ of $(\mathcal{X}'_0, \mathcal{L}'_0) = (X', L')$ and an S-birational correspondence between $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X}'$ respecting $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}'$.* □

The idea of the proof is as follows: The linear system $|\mathcal{L}_t^m|$ ($m \gg 0$) defines a rational map from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathbb{P}_S((\pi_*\mathcal{L}^m)^\vee)$ and we define $\mathcal{X}'$ as the closure of the image of this rational map. Then one shows that $\mathcal{X}'$ is S-flat with special fibre X'. The line bundle $\mathcal{L}'^m$ is the restriction of the relative $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on $\mathbb{P}_S((\pi_*\mathcal{L}^m)^\vee)$. For details we refer to [28]. Note that the neighbourhood where $h^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t^m) \equiv const$ might very well depend on n.

The main technical problem that limited the results in [28] to the case that $\mathrm{codim}(X \setminus U), \mathrm{codim}(X' \setminus U') \geq 3$ was the assumption $h^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t^n) \equiv const$, which is easy to establish under this additional assumption on the codimension. The projectivity criterion Theorem 3.11, which was not yet available in [28], can be used to arrange things such that the assumption on $h^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t)$ holds.

Theorem 4.6 — *Let X and X' be birational projective irreducible symplectic manifolds. Then there exist deformations $\mathcal{X} \to S$ and $\mathcal{X}' \to S$ of $\mathcal{X}_0 = X$ and $\mathcal{X}'_0 = X'$, respectively, such that*

- *S is smooth and one-dimensional.*
- *There exists an S-isomorphism $\mathcal{X}|_{S\setminus\{0\}} \cong \mathcal{X}'|_{S\setminus\{0\}}$.*

Proof. By 1.11 there exist constants (a_i) and (a'_i) $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ such that for any line bundle L on X (resp. L' on X') the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch formula can be written as

$$\chi(X, L) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{a_i}{(2i)!} q_X(c_1(L))^i \qquad \text{resp.} \qquad \chi(X', L') = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{a'_i}{(2i)!} q_{X'}(c_1(L'))^i.$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that in the lexicographic order $(a_i) \geq (a'_i)$, i.e. $\sum a_i t^i \geq \sum a'_i t^i$ for $t \gg 0$. If now $L' \in \mathrm{Pic}(X')$ is ample and L is the corresponding line bundle on X, then $q_X(c_1(L)) = q_{X'}(c_1(L')) > 0$. For the equality see 2.6 and for the inequality 1.9. Hence, $\chi(X, L^m) \geq \chi(X', L'^m)$ for $m \gg 0$.

Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) \to S$ be a deformation of (X, L) over a smooth and one-dimensional base S such that $\rho(\mathcal{X}_t) = 1$ for general $t \in S$, e.g. take a curve $S \subset Def(X, L)$ not contained in

any $Def(X, M)$ where M is a line bundle linearly independent of L (cf. 1.14, 1.16). By Theorem 3.11 the positivity of $q_{\mathcal{X}_t}(c_1(\mathcal{L}_t)) = q_X(c_1(L))$ implies that all fibres $\mathcal{X}_t$ are projective. Hence, for general $t \in S$ either $\mathcal{L}_t$ or its dual is ample. The non-vanishing of $H^0(X, L^m) = H^0(X', L'^m)$ for $m \gg 0$ and the semi-continuity of $h^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t)$ excludes the latter possibility for t close to $0 \in S$. Thus, we can apply the Kodaira Vanishing Theorem to $\mathcal{L}_t$: For general $t \in S$ one has $h^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t^m) = \chi(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t^m) = \chi(X, L^m)$ for all $m > 0$. Using semi-continuity this yields $h^0(X, L^m) \geq h^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t^m) = \chi(X, L^m)$ for $m > 0$. On the other hand, $h^0(X', L'^m) = h^0(X, L^m)$ (use $\operatorname{codim}(X \setminus U), \operatorname{codim}(X' \setminus U') \geq 2$) and $\chi(X', L'^m) = h^0(X', L'^m)$ (use L' ample on X'). Therefore,

$$\chi(X', L'^m) = h^0(X, L^m) \geq h^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t^m) = \chi(X, L^m).$$

Under the assumptions $(a_i) \geq (a_i')$ and $q_X(c_1(L)) = q_{X'}(c_1(L')) > 0$ this implies $h^0(X, L^m) = h^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t^m)$ for $m \gg 0$. Hence, for $m \gg 0$ the dimension $h^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t^m)$ is constant in a neighbourhood of $0 \in S$.

Therefore, Proposition 4.5 can be applied and we find a deformation $(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{L}') \to S$ of (X', L') which is S-birational to $\mathcal{X}$. The rest of the proof is as the one of the corresponding theorem in [28]: For $t \in S$ general and close to $0 \in S$ the birational correspondence $\mathcal{X}_t - - \to \mathcal{X}_t'$ is a birational correspondence between two projective manifolds with Picard number $\rho(\mathcal{X}_t) = \rho(\mathcal{X}_t') = 1$, which must be an isomorphism. Hence, $\mathcal{X} - - \to \mathcal{X}'$ is an isomorphism on the general fibre. Restricting to an open neighbourhood of $0 \in S$ we can in fact achieve that $\mathcal{X}|_{S\setminus\{0\}} \cong \mathcal{X}'|_{S\setminus\{0\}}$ (cf. [28]). □

Note that the theorem is known as well for non-projective irreducible symplectic manifolds if the birational correspondence is described by an elementary transformation [28].

More in the spirit of the Main Lemma of Burns and Rapoport (see 4.3) Theorem 4.6 can equivalently be formulated as

Theorem 4.6' — *Let X and X' be birational projective irreducible symplectic manifolds. Then there exist two markings $\varphi : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \Gamma$ and $\varphi' : H^2(X', \mathbb{Z}) \cong \Gamma$ such that $(X, \varphi), (X', \varphi') \in \mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$ are non-separated points.* □

The fact that X and X' can be realized as the special fibres of the same family has strong consequences, which are not at all obvious just from the fact that they are birational. We only mention:

Corollary 4.7 — *If X and X' are birational projective irreducible symplectic manifolds, then:*

i) X and X' are diffeomorphic.

ii) For all k the weight-k Hodge structures of X and X' are isomorphic. □

It is interesting to compare *ii)* in the corollary with a recent result of Batyrev and Kontsevich. They show that *ii)* holds true for all birational smooth projective manifolds with trivial canonical bundle; in particular for irreducible symplectic but also for Calabi-Yau manifolds. Assertion *i)* is not expected to hold for the more general class of projective manifolds with trivial canonical bundle.

Applications

In Sect. 2 we provided a list of the known examples of irreducible symplectic manifolds. In most of the cases the verification of the defining properties was reduced to either of the two standard examples $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S)$ or $\mathrm{K}^{n+1}(A)$, where S is a K3 surface and A is a torus. In fact, modulo birational correspondence all examples in Sect. 2 are deformation equivalent to one of these two. Theorem 4.6 in particular says that also birational irreducible symplectic manifolds are deformation equivalent. In particular, we wish to mention

Corollary 4.8 — *If S is a K3 surface and $v = (v_0, v_1, v_2)$ is a Mukai vector with v_1 primitive, then for any v-generic polarization H the moduli space $M_H(v)$ of semistable sheaves with Mukai vector v is an irreducible symplectic manifold that is deformation equivalent to $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S)$, where $n = (v, v) + 2$. (For the notation see Sect. 2, [42] or [29].)* □

More generally, we formulate

Corollary 4.9 — *All examples of irreducible symplectic manifolds in Sect. 2 are deformation equivalent (and hence diffeomorphic) either to $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S)$ or to $\mathrm{K}^{n+1}(A)$, where S is a K3 surface and A is a torus.*

Proof. The only problem is the projectivity assumption in Theorem 4.6. But since all known examples of birational correspondences between non-projective irreducible symplectic manifolds are described in terms of elementary transformations along projective bundles, the result follows from [28], where we gave an easy proof of Theorem 4.6 for elementary transformations without assuming the projectivity of X and X'. □

5 An Analogue of the Weyl-Action

The main obstacle to generalize the techniques in the theory of K3 surfaces to higher dimensions, besides the missing Global Torelli Theorem, is the absence of a Weyl-group, i.e. the group of automorphisms of the second cohomology generated by reflections in hypersurfaces orthogonal to some (-2)-class. To a certain extent, the following proposition (and its corollary) is a good replacement for the fact that the Weyl-group acts transitively on the set of chambers (see also Remark 5.4). It has immediate consequences such as the description of the Kähler cone of very general irreducible symplectic manifolds (see 5.6 and 5.7). The

question will be further pursued in Sect. 7. A posteriori the not very concrete 'generality' assumption in 5.1 can be made more specific (Theorem 5.9). This was pointed out to me by Deligne.

Proposition 5.1 — *Let $(X,\varphi)\in\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$ be a marked irreducible symplectic manifold. Assume $\alpha\in\mathcal{C}_X$ is general, i.e. α is contained in the complement of countably many nowhere dense closed subsets. Then there exists a point $(X',\varphi')\in\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$, which cannot be separated from (X,φ) such that $(\varphi'^{-1}\circ\varphi)(\alpha)\in H^2(X',\mathbb{R})$ is a Kähler class.*

Together with Theorem 4.3 (or rather its proof) the proposition shows

Corollary 5.2 — *Let X be an irreducible symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$ and let $\alpha\in\mathcal{C}_X$ be general. Then there exists another irreducible symplectic manifold X' together with an effective cycle $\Gamma:=Z+\sum Y_i\subset X\times X'$ of dimension $2n$ satisfying the following conditions:*

i) Z defines a birational map $X\dashrightarrow X'$.

ii) The projections $Y_i\to X$ and $Y_i\to X'$ have positive fibre dimension.

iii) $[\Gamma]_*:H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})\to H^2(X',\mathbb{Z})$ defines an isomorphism of Hodge structures compatible with q_X and $q_{X'}$. Moreover, $[\Gamma]_*\circ[\Gamma]_*$ is the identity on $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$, resp. $H^2(X',\mathbb{Z})$.

iv) $[\Gamma]_*(\alpha)\in\mathcal{K}_{X'}$. □

Proof of Proposition 5.1. First, let $\alpha\in\mathcal{C}_X$ be completely arbitrary. Choose a Kähler class $\gamma\in\mathcal{K}_X\subset\mathcal{C}_X$ and let $\beta:=(1+\varepsilon)\alpha-\varepsilon\gamma\in\mathcal{C}_X$, where $0<\varepsilon\ll 1$. Then, pick a sequence $\beta_i\in H^2(X,\mathbb{Q})$ converging to β such that $\beta_i\notin H^{1,1}(X)$ (or, equivalently, $\beta_i\notin\mathcal{C}_X$). The associated hypersurfaces $S_{\beta_i}\subset Def(X)$ (for the notation see 1.16) converge to $S_\beta\subset Def(X)$. Since $S_\beta\neq\emptyset$, also $S_{\beta_i}\neq\emptyset$ for $i\gg 0$. Let $U\subset\mathcal{C}_X$ be an open neighbourhood of α such that for all $\alpha'\in U$ the class $((1+\varepsilon)\alpha'-\beta)/\varepsilon$ is still Kähler. Such an open neighbourhood exists, because $\mathcal{K}_X\subset\mathcal{C}_X$ is open. For any $\alpha'\in U$ let $\mathcal{X}(\alpha')\to T(\alpha')$ be the deformation obtained by restricting the universal deformation to $T(\alpha'):=Def(X)\cap\mathcal{P}^{-1}(\mathbb{P}(\varphi(F(\alpha')_{\mathbb{C}})))$ (see also 1.17). Since $\alpha',\beta\in\mathcal{C}_X$ and, hence, $q_X(\alpha',\beta)\neq 0$, the curve $T(\alpha')$ is not contained in S_β (cf. 1.8, 1.16). Therefore, $T(\alpha')\cap S_\beta$ is zero-dimensional and, moreover, non-empty, as the orign 0 is contained in $T(\alpha')\cap S_\beta$. Hence, $T(\alpha')\cap S_{\beta_i}$ is zero-dimensional and non-empty for $i\gg 0$ as well. Thus, we find points $t_i\in T(\alpha')\cap S_{\beta_i}$ approaching 0.

We need the following

Claim — For general $\alpha'\in U$ the points $t_i\in T(\alpha')\cap S_{\beta_i}$ are general points of S_{β_i} in the sense that $H^{1,1}(\mathcal{X}(\alpha')_{t_i})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is spanned by β_i or, equivalently, that $\rho(\mathcal{X}(\alpha')_{t_i})=1$.

Proof of the Claim. Consider the map $\psi_i:U\to S_{\beta_i}$ that sends $\alpha'\in U$ to the intersection $\{t_i\}=T(\alpha')\cap S_{\beta_i}$, which, at least locally, consists of a single point. The map ψ_i is constant

along the orbits of the natural $\mathbb{R}^*$-action on $U \subset H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Thus $d\psi_i : T_{\alpha'}U \to T_{t_i}S_{\beta_i}$ factorizes through $T_{\alpha'}U = H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}/\alpha' \cdot \mathbb{R} \to T_{t_i}S_{\beta_i} \subset H^{1,1}(\mathcal{X}(\alpha')_{t_i})$. Using the period map, which is holomorphic, it is easy to extend the map ψ_i to a holomorphic map $\tilde{\psi}_i : \tilde{U} \to S_{\beta_i}$, where $\tilde{U}$ is an open subset of the complex vector space $H^{1,1}(X)$ with $\tilde{U} \cap H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} = U$. Again, $\tilde{\psi}_i$ is constant along the orbits of the natural $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\tilde{U} \subset H^{1,1}(X)$ and, therefore, its tangent map factorizes as follows $T_{\alpha'}\tilde{U} \cong H^{1,1}(X) \to H^{1,1}(X)/\alpha' \cdot \mathbb{C} \to T_{t_i}S_{\beta_i} \subset H^{1,1}(\mathcal{X}(\alpha')_{t_i})$.

Next, one proves that the map $\tilde{\psi} : \tilde{U} \to S_{\beta_i}$ is injective modulo the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action. Indeed, if $H^{2,0} = \sigma \cdot \mathbb{C}$, then $F(\alpha')_{\mathbb{C}} = \sigma \cdot \mathbb{C} \oplus \bar{\sigma} \cdot \mathbb{C} \oplus \alpha' \cdot \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, for linearly independent $\alpha', \alpha'' \in \tilde{U} \subset H^{1,1}(X)$ the two planes $\mathbb{P}(\varphi(F(\alpha')_{\mathbb{C}})), \mathbb{P}(\varphi(F(\alpha'')_{\mathbb{C}})) \subset \mathbb{P}(\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}})$ intersect in $\mathbb{P}(\varphi(\sigma \cdot \mathbb{C} \oplus \bar{\sigma} \cdot \mathbb{C}))$. The latter space is a projective line which meets the period domain $Q \subset \mathbb{P}(\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}})$ in exactly two points, namely $\mathbb{P}(\varphi(\sigma \cdot \mathbb{C} \oplus \bar{\sigma} \cdot \mathbb{C})) \cap Q = \{\varphi[\sigma], \varphi[\bar{\sigma}]\}$. Shrinking $Def(X)$ such that $\varphi[\bar{\sigma}] \notin \mathcal{P}(Def(X))$, one has in particular $\varphi[\bar{\sigma}] \notin \mathcal{P}(S_{\beta_i})$ and, therefore, $T(\alpha') \cap S_{\beta_i} \neq T(\alpha'') \cap S_{\beta_i}$ for linearly independent α' and α''. Thus $\tilde{\psi} : \tilde{U} \to S_{\beta_i}$ is injective modulo $\mathbb{C}^*$.

But if $\tilde{\psi}_i : \tilde{U} \to S_{\beta_i}$ is injective modulo the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action, then its tangent map $d\tilde{\psi}_i : H^{1,1}(X)/\alpha' \cdot \mathbb{C} \to T_{t_i}S_{\beta_i}$ is injective for general $\alpha' \in \tilde{U}$. In fact, the set where $d\tilde{\psi}_i$ fails to be injective is a complex-analytic set and, therefore, cannot contain open parts of U. Thus, even for general $\alpha' \in U$ the tangent map can be assumed to be injective. Since both spaces $H^{1,1}(X)/\alpha' \cdot \mathbb{C}$ and $T_{t_i}S_{t_i}$ have dimension $h^{1,1}(X) - 1$, the tangent map $d\tilde{\psi}_i$ at such a point must be bijective. In particular, $\mathrm{im}(d\tilde{\psi}_i)$ is not contained in any $T_{t_i}S_\delta \cap T_{t_i}S_{\beta_i}$ for any $(1,1)$-class δ on $\mathcal{X}(\alpha')_{t_i}$ that is linearly independent of β_i. Since $d\tilde{\psi}_i$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear and $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ spans $H^{1,1}(X)$, this also shows that $\mathrm{im}(d\psi_i)$ is not contained in any such $T_{t_i}S_\delta \cap T_{t_i}S_{\beta_i}$. Hence, the image of $\psi_i : U \to S_{\beta_i}$ is not contained in any hypersurface $S_\delta \cap S_{\beta_i}$ with δ linearly independent of β_i. As there are only countably many β_i's and δ's to be considered, one can assume that for the general $\alpha' \in U$ the intersection $T(\alpha') \cap S_{\beta_i}$ is not contained in any S_δ for δ linearly independent of β_i. In other words, if $\{t_i\} = T(\alpha') \cap S_{\beta_i}$ with α' general, then $\rho(\mathcal{X}(\alpha')_{t_i}) = 1$. This proves the claim.

Let us now replace α by a general (in the above sense) $\alpha' \in U$. Analogously, replace $\gamma = ((1+\varepsilon)\alpha - \beta)/\varepsilon$ by the Kähler class $\gamma' = ((1+\varepsilon)\alpha' - \beta)/\varepsilon$. In other words, from now on we are in the following situation: $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_X$, $\gamma = ((1+\varepsilon)\alpha - \beta)/\varepsilon \in \mathcal{K}_X$, and there are points $t_i \in T(\alpha) \cap S_{\beta_i}$ converging to 0 such that $\rho(\mathcal{X}(\alpha)_{t_i}) = 1$.

Let $\mathcal{X} := \mathcal{X}(\alpha) \to T(\alpha)$ and denote by α_t a $(1,1)$-class on $\mathcal{X}_t$ that spans the orthogonal complement of $P(\mathcal{X}_t) := (\sigma_t \cdot \mathbb{C} + \bar{\sigma}_t \cdot \mathbb{C})_{\mathbb{R}}$ in $F(\alpha) \subset H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^2(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathbb{R})$. We may choose α_t depending continuously on t such that $\alpha_0 = \alpha$. Next, let $\gamma_i := ((1+\varepsilon)\alpha_{t_i} - \beta)/\varepsilon$ which is considered as a class on X or as a class on $\mathcal{X}_{t_i}$ via the isomorphism $H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^2(\mathcal{X}_{t_i}, \mathbb{R})$. Since the union of the Kähler cones $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}_t}$ in $\bigcup_t H^{1,1}(\mathcal{X}_t)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is open, γ_i is a Kähler class on $\mathcal{X}_{t_i}$ for $i \gg 0$ (see also the arguments in the proof of Fujiki's Theorem 3.5). On the other hand, for $i \gg 0$ the class β_i on $\mathcal{X}_{t_i}$ is of type $(1,1)$ and $q_X(\beta_i) > 0$. Theorem 3.11 then asserts that

$\mathcal{X}_{t_i}$ is projective. Since $H^{1,1}(\mathcal{X}_{t_i})_{\mathbb{Q}} = \beta_i \cdot \mathbb{Q}$ and $\beta_i \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}_{t_i}}$ for $i \gg 0$, the class β_i is ample on $\mathcal{X}_{t_i}$. Thus, α_{t_i} is contained in the segment $[\gamma_i, \beta_i]$ joining the two Kähler classes γ_i and β_i on $\mathcal{X}_{t_i}$. Since the Kähler cone is convex, α_{t_i} is a Kähler class on $\mathcal{X}_{t_i}$ for $i \gg 0$.

All we need from the preceeding discussion is the following statement:

> *If X is an irreducible symplectic manifold and $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_X$ is general, then there exists a point $t \in T(\alpha)$ such that α_t is Kähler on $\mathcal{X}_t = \mathcal{X}(\alpha)_t$.*

Let us fix this point t. We denote the induced marking $H^2(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Gamma$ of $\mathcal{X}_t$ by φ_t. Then $\varphi(F(\alpha)) = \varphi(P(X) \oplus \alpha \cdot \mathbb{R}) = \varphi_t(P(\mathcal{X}_t) \oplus \alpha_t \cdot \mathbb{R}) = \varphi_t(F(\alpha_t))$. This way one identifies $T(\alpha)$ with an open subset of the base of the twistor space associated to $(\mathcal{X}_t, \alpha_t)$. (Note that for an arbitrary $(1,1)$-class δ the space $T(\delta)$ is only locally defined but if δ is a Kähler class then $T(\delta)$ means the complete base of the twistor space, which is a $\mathbb{P}^1$, see 1.13, 1.17.) Hence, there are two families $\mathcal{X} \to T(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{X}' \to T(\alpha)$ over the same base $T(\alpha)$, where the latter is (an open subset of) the twistor space of $\mathcal{X}_t \cong \mathcal{X}'_t$. Both deformations are endowed with the natural markings φ and φ' such that ${\varphi'_t}^{-1} \circ \varphi_t$ is induced by the isomorphism $\mathcal{X}_t \cong \mathcal{X}'_t$. Moreover, $\alpha'_s := ({\varphi'_s}^{-1} \circ \varphi_s)(\alpha_s)$ is a Kähler class on $\mathcal{X}'_s$ for all $s \in T(\alpha)$. In particular, α'_0 is a Kähler class on $X' := \mathcal{X}'_0$. It remains to show that $(X', \varphi') := (\mathcal{X}'_0, \varphi'_0)$ cannot be separated from (X, φ).

Consider the maximal open subset $V \subset T(\alpha)$ containing t such that there exists an isomorphism $\mathcal{X}|_V \cong \mathcal{X}'|_V$ extending $\mathcal{X}_t \cong \mathcal{X}'_t$. (Use the Local Torelli Theorem 1.15 in order to show that V is open.) Denote by $\overline{V}$ the closure of V in $T(\alpha)$ and let $\partial V := \overline{V} \setminus V$ be its boundary. Let $s \in \partial V$. Then there exists an effective cycle $\Gamma = Z + \sum Y_i \subset \mathcal{X}_s \times \mathcal{X}'_s$ as in the proof of 4.3. In particular, $\mathcal{X}_s \leftarrow Z \to \mathcal{X}'_s$ is a birational correspondence, the projections $Y_i \to \mathcal{X}_s$ and $Y_i \to \mathcal{X}'_s$ have positive fibre dimension, and $[\Gamma]_* = {\varphi'_s}^{-1} \circ \varphi_s$. Let us assume that in addition $\mathcal{X}'_s$ neither contains non-trivial curves nor effective divisors, e.g. $H^{1,1}(\mathcal{X}'_s)_{\mathbb{Z}} = 0$ (cf. 1.9). Then, $\mathcal{X}_s \cong Z \cong \mathcal{X}'_s$ and $[Y_i]_* : H^2(\mathcal{X}_s, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(\mathcal{X}'_s, \mathbb{Z})$ is trivial (see Lemma 5.5 below). Since $\alpha'_s = ({\varphi'_s}^{-1} \circ \varphi)(\alpha_s) = [\Gamma]_*(\alpha) = [Z]_*(\alpha_s)$, this yields that α_s is a Kähler class on $\mathcal{X}_s$. Next we claim that in fact $\Gamma = Z$. Indeed, if we compute the volume with respect to the Kähler class $p_1^*\alpha_s + p_2^*\alpha'_s$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
vol(\Gamma) &= vol(Z) + \sum vol(Y_i) \\
&= \int_Z (p_1^*\alpha_s + p_2^*\alpha'_s)^{2n} + \sum vol(Y_i) \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{X}'_s} ([Z]_*(\alpha_s) + \alpha'_s)^{2n} + \sum vol(Y_i) \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{X}'_s} ([\Gamma]_*(\alpha_s) + \alpha'_s)^{2n} + \sum vol(Y_i) \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{X}'_s} (2\alpha'_s)^{2n} + \sum vol(Y_i)
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $s_i \in V$ converges to s, then the volume of the graph Γ_i of the isomorphism $\mathcal{X}_{s_i} \cong \mathcal{X}'_{s_i}$ converges to $vol(\Gamma)$. But $vol(\Gamma_i) = \int_{\Gamma_i} (p_1^*\alpha_{s_i} + p_2^*\alpha'_{s_i})^{2n} = \int_{\mathcal{X}'_{s_i}} (2\alpha'_{s_i})^{2n}$.

Hence, $\sum vol(Y_i) = 0$, i.e. $\Gamma = Z$. But this would contradict the maximality of V. Thus, if $s \in \partial V$, then $\mathcal{X}'_s$ either contains non-trivial curves or divisors. By 1.17 the set of points $s \in T(\alpha)$ with this property is countable. Hence, ∂V is countable. But then ∂V could not separate two non-empty open subset V and $T(\alpha) \setminus \overline{V}$. Hence, $\overline{V} = T(\alpha)$, which proves that (X, φ) and (X', φ') cannot be separated. □

Remark 5.3 — In fact, we can modify $\mathcal{X}'$ appropriately such that it becomes isomorphic to $\mathcal{X}$ over $T(\alpha)\setminus\{0\}$. (However, it will not be a twistor space any longer.) Indeed, any countable closed subset in $T(\alpha)$ has an isolated point, but in the neighbourhood of an isolated point $\neq 0$ one can replace $\mathcal{X}'$ by $\mathcal{X}$.

Remark 5.4 — The transitivity of the action of the Weyl-group on the set of chambers of a K3 surface is equivalent to the following statement: If X is a K3 surface and $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_X$ is general in the sense that it is not orthogonal to any (-2)-curve, then there exists a cycle $\Gamma = \Delta + \sum C_i \times C_i \subset X \times X$, where Δ is the diagonal and the C_i's are (-2)-curves, such that $[\Gamma]_*(\alpha)$ is a Kähler class. In this light, the above proposition is a weak generalization of the transitivity of the action of the Weyl-group. Unfortunately, it seems to be hard to specify the assumption on α to be 'general'. In particular, one would like to replace it by an open condition. For a K3 surface this is granted by the fact that the union of all walls is closed (and hence its complement is open) in the positive cone. However, as we will see below (Corollary 5.8), a class is 'general' in the sense of the proposition if it is not orthogonal to any integral class.

The following lemma is rather elementary. It was used in the previous proof and will come up again in Sect. 7.

Lemma 5.5 — *Let X and X' be compact complex manifolds of dimension m and let $Y \subset X \times X'$ be an m-dimensional irreducible subvariety such that the projection $p' : Y \to X'$ has positive fibre dimension. If the induced homomorphism $[Y]_* := p'_*([Y].p^*(\,.\,)) : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(X', \mathbb{Z})$ is non-zero, then $p'(Y) \subset X'$ is a divisor. In this case $[Y]_*(\alpha) = (\int_C \alpha)[p'(Y)]$, where $C = {p'}^{-1}(x)$ is the general fibre curve of $p' : Y \to p'(Y)$.* □

Before approaching the Kähler cone of an arbitrary irreducible symplectic manifold in Sect. 7 let us deduce here some immediate consequences of Proposition 5.1.

Corollary 5.6 — *Let X be an irreducible symplectic manifold without effective divisors. Then for general $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_X$ there exists a birational correspondence $Z \subset X \times X'$ between X and another irreducible symplectic manifold X' with $[Z]_*(\alpha) \in \mathcal{K}_{X'}$. If in addition X contains no rational curves, then $\mathcal{C}_X = \mathcal{K}_X$*

Proof. If X does not contain any divisor, then by the lemma the maps $[Y_i] : H^2(X', \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ are trivial, where $\Gamma = Z + \sum Y_i$ is as in Corollary 5.2. Hence, if $\alpha' := [\Gamma]_*(\alpha)$, which is a Kähler class by Corollary 5.2, then $\alpha = [\Gamma]_*(\alpha') = [Z]_*(\alpha')$ and, therefore, $[Z]_*(\alpha) = \alpha' \in \mathcal{K}_{X'}$. If X does not contain any rational curves, then any birational correspondence extends to an isomorphism. Hence, α is Kähler. □

From the last assertion and 1.17 one easily obtains also the following

Corollary 5.7 — *If $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{Z}} = 0$, i.e. there exists no non-trivial line bundle on X, then $\mathcal{C}_X = \mathcal{K}_X$. In particular, if X is a general irreducible symplectic manifold then $\mathcal{C}_X = \mathcal{K}_X$.*

Proof. The assumption $H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{Z}} = 0$ implies $H^{2n-1,2n-1}(X)_{\mathbb{Z}} = 0$ by 1.9. Hence, X contains neither curves nor effective divisors. Thus we can apply the previous corollary. For the second assertion recall that the deformations of X that admit non-trivial line bundles form a countable union of hypersurfaces in $Def(X)$ (cf. 1.14). □

Once the Kähler cone of a general irreducible symplectic manifold is described (Corollary 5.7), Proposition 5.1 can be sharpened to yield:

Corollary 5.8 — *Let $(X, \varphi) \in \mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$ be a marked irreducible symplectic manifold. Assume that $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_X$ is not orthogonal to any $0 \neq \beta \in H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Then there exists a point $(X', \varphi') \in \mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$ which cannot be separated from (X, φ) such that $(\varphi'^{-1} \circ \varphi)(\alpha)$ is in the Kähler cone of X'.*

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 5.1 we consider the 'twistor space' $\mathcal{X}(\alpha) \to T(\alpha)$. By the assumption on α, the general fibre $\mathcal{X}_t := \mathcal{X}(\alpha)_t$ satisfies $H^{1,1}(\mathcal{X}_t)_{\mathbb{Z}} = 0$. By Corollary 5.7 this implies $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}_t} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}_t}$. If α_t is a $(1,1)$-class on $\mathcal{X}_t$ that spans the orthogonal complement of $P(\mathcal{X}_t) := (\sigma_t \cdot \mathbb{C} + \bar{\sigma}_t \cdot \mathbb{C})_{\mathbb{R}}$ in $F(\alpha) \subset H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^2(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathbb{R})$ (as in the proof of 5.1), then the class α_t is Kähler on $\mathcal{X}_t$ for general t close to 0. Now proceed as in the final paragraph of the proof of 5.1 □.

Once a point $(X', \varphi') \in \mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$ non-separated from (X, φ) with $(\varphi'^{-1} \circ \varphi)(\alpha)$ in the Kähler cone $\mathcal{K}_{X'}$ has been shown to exist, one easily proves

Theorem 5.9 — *Let $\mathcal{X} \to Def(X)$ be the universal deformation of an irreducible symplectic manifold X and let $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_X$ be a class not orthogonal to any $0 \neq \beta \in H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Then there exists another irreducible symplectic manifold X' and a smooth proper family $\mathcal{X}' \to Def(X)$ with $X' = \mathcal{X}'_0$ such that over an open subset containing the complement of the union of all hypersurfaces S_β with $\beta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ both families $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X}'$ are isomorphic and the induced isomorphism $H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^2(X', \mathbb{R})$ maps α to a Kähler class on X'.*

Proof. Again, this is just a reformulation of the proof of 5.1 with the extra input 5.8, which in turn is based on 5.1 in its original form. Fix a marking φ of X and take (X', φ') as in 5.8. Consider $Def(X')$ as an open subset of $\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$. Using the period map, both spaces $Def(X)$ and $Def(X')$ can be identified. Thus, we have two marked families $(\mathcal{X}, \varphi) \to Def(X)$ and $(\mathcal{X}', \varphi') \to Def(X)$, both universal for X respectively X'. Moreover, for some point $t \in Def(X)$ there is an isomorphism $f : \mathcal{X}_t \cong \mathcal{X}'_t$ with $f^* = {\varphi'}^{-1} \circ \varphi$. Let V be the maximal open subset such that there exists an isomorphism $\mathcal{X}|_V \cong \mathcal{X}'|_V$ extending f. As we have seen in the proof of 5.1, for a point s in the boundary $\partial V := \overline{V} \setminus V$ the group $H^{1,1}(\mathcal{X}_s)_\mathbb{Z}$ is non-trivial. Hence, ∂V is contained in the union of all hypersurfaces S_β with $\beta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Since a countable union of real codimension two subsets cannot separate two non-empty open subsets, one of the open sets V or $Def(X) \setminus \overline{V}$ must be empty. Hence, $\overline{V} = Def(X)$. □

It seems likely that in the above theorem one can achieve that the open subset is in fact the complement of finitely many hypersurfaces S_{β_i} with $\beta_i \in H^{1,1}(X)_\mathbb{Z}$, but at the moment I do not know how to prove this.

6 The Ample Cone

After having established a projectivity criterion for irreducible symplectic manifolds in Sect. 3, we now strive for an understanding of the ample cone of a projective irreducible symplectic manifold. Recall the following well-known results:

6.1 — Let X be a projective variety. A line bundle L on X is ample if and only if $\int_Z c_1^i(L) > 0$ for any integral subscheme $Z \subset X$ of dimension $i \leq \dim(X)$ (cf. [25]).
6.2 — Let X be a K3 surface. A line bundle L on X is ample if and only if $c_1(L)$ is contained in the positive cone and $\int_C c_1(L) > 0$ for all (-2)-curves (i.e. irreducible smooth and rational) $C \subset X$ (cf. [10], [1]).

The second statement, a special case of the Nakai-Moishezon criterion, says that on a two-dimensional irreducible symplectic manifold the ample cone is the integral part of the positive cone that is positive on all (-2)-curves. The main result of this section (Corollary 6.5) is formulated in this spirit. However, the result is extremely weak compared to 6.2 as it only says that an integral class in the positive cone that cannot be separated from the Kähler cone by any *integral* wall is ample. The problem one has to face in higher dimensions is that an analogue of (-2)-curves is not (yet?) available.

Recall, the following notation: $\mathcal{K}_X \subset H^{1,1}(X)_\mathbb{R}$ is the cone of all Kähler classes and the positive cone $\mathcal{C}_X$ is the component of $\{\alpha \in H^{1,1}(X)_\mathbb{R} | q_X(\alpha) > 0\}$ that contains $\mathcal{K}_X$.

We begin with a variant of 6.1 in the case of projective manifolds with trivial canonical bundle. The following proposition, which is a straightforward consequence of the Basepoint-

Free Theorem [14], says that in order to check whether a line bundle is ample it suffices to test it on subvarieties which are either X itself or of dimension one.

Proposition 6.3 — *Let X be a projective manifold with $K_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$. Then a line bundle L on X is ample if and only if $\int c_1(L)^{\dim(X)} > 0$ and $\int_C c_1(L) > 0$ for all curves $C \subset X$.*

Proof. Obviously, if L is ample, then both inequalities hold. If L is a line bundle satisfying both inequalities, then L is nef and $L \otimes K_X^\vee \cong L$ is nef and big. Then, the Basepoint-Free Theorem shows that L^m is globally generated for $m \gg 0$. But any globally generated line bundle that is positive on all curves is ample (cf. [25]). □

Applied to irreducible symplectic manifolds this yields:

Corollary 6.4 — *Let X be a projective irreducible symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$ and let L be a line bundle on X. Then*

- *L is ample if and only if $c_1(L) \in \mathcal{C}_X$ and $\int_C c_1(L) > 0$ for all curves $C \subset X$.*
- *L is in the closure of the ample cone if and only if $c_1(L) \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}_X$ and L is nef.*

Proof. Observe that for the first assertion we do not need the projectivity of X, for $c_1(L) \in \mathcal{C}_X$ implies that X is projective (Theorem 3.11). Both assertions follow directly from the proposition: If L is ample, then certainly $\int_C c_1(L) > 0$, $q_X(c_1(L)) > 0$, and $q_X(c_1(L), \alpha) > 0$ for any Kähler class α (cf. 1.10) and, therefore, $c_1(L) \in \mathcal{C}_X$. Conversely, if $c_1(L) \in \mathcal{C}_X$ then $\int_X c_1^{2n}(L) > 0$ by 1.11. For the second assertion replace L by $L^m \otimes M$ with M an ample line bundle and $m \gg 0$. □

Using the isomorphism $cL_{\sigma\bar{\sigma}}^{n-1} : H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong H^{2n-1,2n-1}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (cf. 1.9) Corollary 6.4 allows us to formulate also the following

Corollary 6.5 — *Let X be an irreducible symplectic manifold and let L be a line bundle on X. Then L is ample if and only if $c_1(L)$ satisfies*

i) $q_X(c_1(L), .)$ is positive on $\mathcal{K}_X$.

ii) If $M \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ such that $q_X(c_1(M), .)$ is positive on $\mathcal{K}_X$, then $q_X(c_1(M), c_1(L)) > 0$.

Proof. If L is ample, then $c_1(L) \in \mathcal{K}_X$ and, therefore, *i)* and *ii)* follow. If L satisfies *i)* and *ii)*, then $c_1(L) \in \mathcal{C}_X$. Hence, X is projective (Theorem 3.11). Thus it remains to verify that L is positive on all curves (6.4). If C is a curve then $[C] \in H^{2n-1,2n-1}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and therefore there exists an $\alpha \in H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with $cL_{\sigma\bar{\sigma}}^{n-1}(\alpha) = [C]$. Since any Kähler class $[\omega]$ is positive on C, one has $q_X(\alpha, \omega) > 0$. Hence, $q_X(\alpha, c_1(L)) > 0$ by assumption, for α is a rational class. □

The statement seems rather weak, and indeed, it cannot be considered as a true generalization of the Nakai-Moishezon criterion for K3 surfaces. However, it is non-trivial. E.g., if

X is a projective irreducible symplectic manifold such that its Picard group is spanned by two line bundles L_1 and L_2 with L_1 ample and L_2 non-ample, then there exists a ($\mathbb{Z}$-)linear combination of L_1 and L_2 that is negative (with respect to q_X) on L_2 and positive on the whole Kähler (and not only on the ample!) cone. Of course, if $h^{1,1}(X) = \rho(X)$, then the assertion of the corollary is void.

Note that the description of the ample cone given in this section does not make use of Proposition 5.1, but I expect that Corollary 6.5 together with Proposition 5.1 implies that the ample cone is finitely polyhedral. But at the moment I do not know how to prove this.

7 The Kähler Cone

Here we slightly generalize the result of the previous section and give a description of the Kähler cone. Again, the result is much weaker than the known ones for K3 surfaces and says that a class in the positive cone that cannot be separated by any integral wall from the Kähler cone is Kähler itself. It might be noteworthy that the description of the ample cone of a K3 surface is a rather easy consequence of the Nakai-Moishezon criterion, whereas the description of the Kähler cone of a K3 surface relies on the Global Torelli Theorem, which is in no form available in higher dimensions.

The result can most powerfully be applied to the case where there are no or only few integral classes. In particular it generalizes Corollary 5.7 to the case that X is projective and the Picard number is one (cf. 7.2).

Theorem 7.1 — *Let X be an irreducible symplectic manifold. Then a class α is contained in the closure of the Kähler cone $\overline{\mathcal{K}}_X$ if and only if*

i) $\alpha \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}_X$.

ii) If $q_X(c_1(M), .)$ is non-negative on the Kähler cone $\mathcal{K}_X$ for a line bundle $M \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$, then $q_X(c_1(M), \alpha) \geq 0$.

Proof. The principal idea of the proof is modelled on Beauville's exposé in [1]. Arguments using the Weyl-group are replaced by Proposition 5.1.

It is obvious that *i)* and *ii)* are necessary for $\alpha \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}_X$. For the converse, assume that α satisfies both conditions. We first consider $\alpha + \varepsilon \cdot \gamma$, where $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ and γ is a general Kähler class. This class is contained in $\mathcal{C}_X$ and satisfies the strong inequality in *ii)* for all line bundles M with $q_X(c_1(M), .)$ positive on $\mathcal{K}_X$. If we can show that for general γ the class $\alpha + \varepsilon\gamma$ is a Kähler class, then $\alpha \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}_X$. Certainly, $\alpha + \varepsilon\gamma$ is general (in the sense of Proposition 5.1) if γ is a general Kähler class. Thus, we only have to deal with the following situation: α is a general class in $\mathcal{C}_X$ such that $q_X(c_1(M), \alpha) > 0$ whenever $q_X(c_1(M), .)$ is positive on $\mathcal{K}_X$, where M is an arbitrary line bundle on X. In this situation we show that α is a Kähler class.

Indeed, by Proposition 5.1 and Corollary 5.2 there exists another irreducible symplectic manifold X' together with an effective cycle $\Gamma = Z + \sum Y_i \subset X \times X'$ satisfying *i)-iv)* of Corollary 5.2. In particular, there exists a Kähler class α' on X' with $[\Gamma]_*(\alpha) = \alpha'$. The latter condition is equivalent to $[\Gamma]_*(\alpha') = \alpha$. Since $[\Gamma]_*$ respects the quadratic form, we have $q_X(\alpha) = q_{X'}(\alpha')$. By 2.6 any birational correspondence Z respects the quadratic form as well. Hence, $q_{X'}(\alpha') = q_X([Z]_*(\alpha'))$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= q_X(\alpha) - q_X([Z]_*(\alpha')) \\ &= q_X(\alpha + [Z]_*(\alpha'), \alpha - [Z]_*(\alpha')) \\ &= q_X(\alpha + [Z]_*(\alpha'), \textstyle\sum [Y_i]_*(\alpha')). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.5 only those components Y_i contribute for which $V_i := p(Y_i)$ is a divisor. Moreover, in this case $[Y_i]_*(\alpha') = (\int_{C_i} \alpha')[V_i]$, where C_i is the generic fibre of $p : Y_i \to V_i$. Since α' is Kähler, $\int_{C_i} \alpha' > 0$. By 1.11 a Kähler class is positive (with respect to q) on any effective divisor. Hence, any $q_X((\int_{C_i} \alpha')[V_i], \,.\,)$ is positive on $\mathcal{K}_X$. Using the assumption on α, this implies $q_X(\sum(\int_{C_i} \alpha')[V_i], \alpha) > 0$. Since α' is Kähler, one also has $q_X([Z]_*(\alpha'), [V_i]) = q_{X'}(\alpha', [Z]_*[V_i]) > 0$ (cf. 1.11). Altogether, this yields that if $\sum [Y_i]_*(\alpha') \neq 0$ then $0 = q_X(\alpha + [Z]_*(\alpha'), \sum(\int_{C_i} \alpha')[V_i]) > 0$. Hence, $\sum [Y_i]_*(\alpha') = 0$ and, therefore, $\alpha = [\Gamma]_*(\alpha') = [Z]_*(\alpha')$.

If Z does not define an isomorphism $X \cong X'$ then there exists a (rational) curve $C \subset X$ such that $\int_C \alpha = \int_C [Z]_*(\alpha') < 0$, e.g. take a curve in the fibre of $Z \to X'$. On the other hand, there exists a rational class $\beta \in H^{1,1}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $cL^{n-1}_{\sigma\bar{\sigma}}(\beta) = [C]$ (cf. 1.9). Since any Kähler class is positive on C, the linear form $q_X(\beta, \,.\,)$ is positive on $\mathcal{K}_X$. By the assumption this yields $\int_C \alpha > 0$. Contradiction. Thus $X \cong Z \cong X'$ and, therefore, $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}_X$. □

The following is another instance where the Kähler cone can completely be described in terms of the period.

Corollary 7.2 — *Let X be an irreducible symplectic manifold and assume that* $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ *is spanned by a line bundle L such that $q_X(c_1(L)) \geq 0$. Then $\mathcal{K}_X = \mathcal{C}_X$, i.e. any class $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_X$ is Kähler.*

Proof. Of course, it suffices to prove that $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_X = \overline{\mathcal{K}}_X$. Thus we can apply the theorem. Without loss of generality we can assume that $q_X(c_1(L), \,.\,)$ is non-negative on $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_X$ (Hodge Index). Therefore, $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_X \subset \overline{\mathcal{K}}_X$. □

8 Surjectivity of the Period Map

Recall, that for a lattice Γ of index $(3, b-3)$ we defined the period domain Q as the set $\{x \in \mathbb{P}(\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}) | q_\Gamma(x) = 0,\ q_\Gamma(x + \bar{x}) > 0\}$, which is an open set of a smooth quadric. Also recall, that the period map $\mathcal{P} : \mathfrak{M}_\Gamma \to \mathbb{P}(\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}})$ takes values in Q. (cf. 1.18). In this section we present a proof of the following

Theorem 8.1 — *Let $\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma^o$ be a non-empty connected component of the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$ of marked irreducible symplectic manifolds. Then the period map*

$$\mathcal{P} : \mathfrak{M}_\Gamma^o \to Q$$

is surjective.

The proof is, once again, modelled on Beauville's presentation in [1]. One proceeds in two steps. The first part of the proof consists of showing that all points of Q are equivalent with respect to a certain equivalence relation defined below. This part is a word-by-word copy of the known arguments. The second part, where it is shown that the image of the period map is invariant under the equivalence relation, deviates from the standard proofs even for K3 surfaces. The description of the Kähler cone (Corollary 5.6) turns out to be crucial for this part.

Let us first recall the following lemma (cf. [1]):

Lemma 8.2 — *The map sending a point $x \in Q \subset \mathbb{P}(\Gamma_\mathbb{C})$ to $P(x) := (x \cdot \mathbb{C} \oplus \bar{x} \cdot \mathbb{C}) \cap \Gamma_\mathbb{R}$ defines a natural isomorphism between Q and the Grassmannian $\tilde{Q}$ of positive oriented planes in $\Gamma_\mathbb{R}$.* □

This lemma enables one to prove that the period domain Q is connected. See [1] for the complete argument.

Definition 8.3 — *Two points $x, y \in Q$ are called equivalent if there exists a sequence $x = x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k = y \in Q$ such that the subspaces $\langle P(x_i), P(x_{i+1})\rangle \subset \Gamma_\mathbb{R}$ are of dimension three and such that $q_\Gamma|_{\langle P(x_i), P(x_{i+1})\rangle}$ is positive definite. (A subspace of dimension three with this property is called a positive 3-space.)*

One can easily show that the set of points equivalent to a fixed $x \in Q$ is open. According to [1] this together with the connectivity of the period domain Q is enough to prove (again, for the complete argument see [1]):

Lemma 8.4 — *Any two points in Q are equivalent.* □

That these results are valid in this generality, and hence applicable to higher dimensional irreducible symplectic manifolds, was also noticed by Verbitsky [51].

This lemma together with the following one immediately proves Theorem 8.1.

Lemma 8.5 — *If $x, y \in Q$ such that $\langle P(x), P(y)\rangle$ is a positive 3-space, then $x \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma^o)$ if and only if $y \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma^o)$.*

Proof. Assume $x = \mathcal{P}((X, \varphi))$, i.e. $P(x) = \varphi(P(X))$, with $(X, \varphi) \in \mathfrak{M}_\Gamma^o$.

I claim that one can deform X slightly such that

i) $\langle P(x), P(y)\rangle$ is still a positive 3-space.

ii) $\rho(X) = 0$.

This is proved as follows: Identify $Def(X)$ with a small open neighbourhood of x in Q via the period map (Local Torelli Theorem). Then consider the countable union of hypersurfaces $T = \bigcup S_\alpha \subset Def(X)$ where $\alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ (see 1.16). To achieve *i)* and *ii)* it suffices to find $t \in Def(X) \setminus T$ such that $\langle \varphi(P(\mathcal{X}_t)), P(y)\rangle$ is a positive 3-space. Of course, the positivity is harmless as long as t is close to 0 and $\dim\langle \varphi(P(\mathcal{X}_t)), P(y)\rangle = 3$.

First, consider those t for which $\langle \varphi(P(\mathcal{X}_t)), P(y)\rangle = \langle P(x), P(y)\rangle$. They are parametrized by (an open subset of) of the non-degenerate quadric $Q \cap \langle P(x), P(y)\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ (for a similar argument see 1.17). Moving t slightly in $Q \cap \langle P(x), P(y)\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ we can assume that the orthogonal complement $k_0 \cdot \mathbb{R} \subset \langle P(x), P(y)\rangle$ of $P(x)$ is not contained in $P(y)$. Now fix a basis $P(y) = \langle a, b\rangle$. Then to any k in a neighbourhood of k_0 in $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ we associate $P_k := \langle q(k,k)a - q(a,k)k, q(k,k)b - q(b,k)k\rangle$ – the orthogonal complement of k in $\langle k, P(y)\rangle$. Then $\langle P_k, P(y)\rangle$ is a positive 3-space.

Let $T' \subset Def(X)$ be the subset of those $t \in Def(X)$ such that $\varphi(P(\mathcal{X}_t)) = P_k$ for some k in a neighbourhood of $k_0 \in \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$. If $T' \not\subset T$ we are done. If $T' \subset T$, then there exists an α such that $T' \subset S_\alpha$ (note that T' is locally irreducible). Since T' certainly contains those points for which $\langle \varphi(P(\mathcal{X}_t)), P(y)\rangle = \langle P(x), P(y)\rangle$, we in particular have (locally!) $Q \cap \langle P(x), P(y)\rangle_{\mathbb{C}} \subset T' \subset S_\alpha$. Since S_α is the hyperplane section defined by $q(\alpha, .)$ and $Q \cap \langle P(x), P(y)\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a non-degenerate quadric, this shows that $q(\alpha, .)$ vanishes on $\langle P(x), P(y)\rangle$. Moreover, since $T' \subset S_\alpha$, the spaces P_k are all orthogonal to α. Hence, $q(q(k,k)a - q(a,k)k, \alpha) = 0$ and $q(q(k,k)b - q(b,k)k, \alpha) = 0$ for all k in a neighbourhood of k_0. Also $q(a, \alpha) = q(b, \alpha) = 0$ and, therefore, $q(a,k)q(k,\alpha) = q(b,k)q(k,\alpha) = 0$ for all k in a neighbourhood of k_0. Contradiction.

Corollary 5.6 then shows that for X satisfying *i)* and *ii)* above one has $\mathcal{C}_X = \mathcal{K}_X$. Thus, if β spans the orthogonal complement of $P(x)$ in $\langle P(x), P(y)\rangle$, then, after replacing β by $-\beta$ if necessary, $\alpha := \varphi^{-1}(\beta) \in \mathcal{C}_X$ is a Kähler class. On the other hand, $y \in \mathbb{P}(\varphi(F(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}})) \subset \mathbb{P}(\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}})$. Since there exists the twistor space $\mathcal{X}(\alpha) \to T(\alpha) = \mathbb{P}(\varphi(F(\alpha)_{\mathbb{C}}))$ and $T(\alpha) \subset \mathfrak{M}^o_\Gamma$, this suffices to conclude that $y \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{M}^o_\Gamma)$. □

Note that in the original proof for K3 surfaces the class β is chosen to be rational and not orthogonal to any (-2)-curve. Therefore, modulo the action of the Weyl-group, it is positive on all (-2)-curves and, hence, ample by the Nakai-Moishezon criterion. In the approach above the generalization of the Nakai-Moishezon criterion as proved in Sect. 6 does not suffice to prove the surjectivity along this line. Also note, that the order of the arguments is different compared to the original proof for K3 surfaces. For K3 surfaces one first proves the surjectivity of the period map using the Nakai-Moishezon criterion and then applies it to derive a description of the Kähler cone. Whereas here, we first described the Kähler cone and then applied the result, which is, however, far less explicit than the known one for K3 surfaces, to prove the surjectivity of the period map.

9 Automorphisms

Not much is known about the automorphism group of an irreducible symplectic manifold of dimension greater than two. In this section we collect some results related to this question and add some remarks.

We introduce the following notations: Let X be an irreducible symplectic manifold. Then

- $Aut(X)$ is the group of holomorphic automorphisms of X.
- $Birat(X)$ is the group of birational automorphisms, i.e. of birational maps $X - - \to X$.
- $A(X) \subset Aut(H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}))$ is the group of automorphisms of $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ which are compatible with the Hodge structure and the quadratic form q_X and map the Kähler cone to the Kähler cone.
- $B(X) \subset Aut(H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}))$ is the group of automorphisms of $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ which are compatible with the Hodge structure and the quadratic form q_X.
- $a : Aut(X) \to Aut(H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}))$ maps an automorphism f to f^*.
- $b : Birat(X) \to Aut(H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}))$ maps a birational map f to f^*.

Proposition 9.1 — *i)* $\mathrm{im}(a) \subset A(X)$*, ii)* $\mathrm{im}(b) \subset B(X)$*, iii)* $b^{-1}(A(X)) = Aut(X) \subset Birat(X)$*, iv)* $\ker(a) = \ker(b)$*, and v)* $\ker(a)$ *is finite.*

Proof. *i)* is trivial, *ii)* follows from Lemma 2.6. In order to prove *iii)* one has to show that any birational map which maps a Kähler class to a Kähler class can be extended to an automorphism. This was proved in [19]. *iv)* is an easy consequence of *iii)*. To prove *v)* one evokes two standard facts: Firstly, the group of isometries of a compact Riemannian manifold is compact and, secondly, the Calabi-Yau metric with respect to a fixed Kähler class is unique. Hence, an automorphism acting trivially on the second cohomology leaves invariant the Kähler class and, hence, the Calabi-Yau metric, i.e. it is an isometry. Since the group $Aut(X)$ is discrete, this suffices to conclude that $\ker(a)$ is finite. □

The natural inclusion $Aut(X) \subset Birat(X)$ is in general proper. Indeed, Beauville constructed in [3] an example of a birational automorphism of $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S)$, where S is a special K3 surface, which does not extend to an automorphism (cf. 2.7).

However, one has

Proposition 9.2 — *If X is general, i.e. together with a marking φ it is a general point in $\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$, then $Aut(X) = Birat(X)$.*

Proof. This stems from the fact that the general irreducible symplectic manifold X does not contain any (rational) curves (cf. 1.17). But a birational automorphism of a variety without rational curves extends to a holomorphic automorphism. □

For K3 surfaces one certainly has $Aut(X) = Birat(X)$. Moreover, the Global Torelli Theorem for K3 surfaces in particular asserts that $a : Aut(X) \to A(X)$ is an isomorphism. Using this fact one also shows that a is injective for the Hilbert scheme of a K3 surface [3]. However, due to an example of Beauville [3], we know that a is not injective in general (This time the counterexample is provided by a generalized Kummer variety). Since the graph of an automorphism in the kernel of a deforms (at least infinitesimally) in all directions with X, we shall not even expect that a is injective for general X.

Questions — *i)* Is a surjective? *ii)* Is $A(X) = \{1\}$ for general X?

The fact that a is not injective has the unpleasant consequence that the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$ of marked irreducible symplectic manifolds is not fine (contrary to the K3 surface case). For a discussion of this and other questions related to the moduli space see the next section.

10 Further Remarks

As mentioned in the introduction, the two problems I consider the most important in the theory are:

- Is there a Global Torelli Theorem for irreducible symplectic manifolds in higher dimensions?
- What are the possible deformation (or diffeomorphism) types of irreducible symplectic manifolds?

The second question alludes to the rather easy fact that any two K3 surfaces are deformation equivalent and, hence, diffeomorphic. From dimension four on we know exactly two different deformation (diffeomorphism) types of irreducible symplectic manifolds (cf. Sect. 4). But there is no obvious reason why there should be no other possibilities.

Due to Debarre's counterexample [15] (cf. 2.7) we know that the the Global Torelli Theorem as formulated for K3 surfaces fails in higher dimensions. However, there seems to be no counterexample known to the following speculation which was first formulated by Mukai [40].

Speculation 10.1 — *Two irreducible symplectic manifolds with isomorphic periods are birational.*

The known proofs of the Global Torelli Theorem for K3 surfaces break down in higher dimensions. This is mainly due to a missing analogue of Kummer surfaces. Kummer surface

are dense in the moduli spaces of K3 surfaces and whether a K3 surface is a Kummer surface can easily be read off its period. (Quartic hypersurfaces would be another such distinguished class of K3 surfaces [18].) In higher dimensions we neither have a good class of manifolds which are dense in $\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$ nor do we know a 'typical' class of manifolds which could be recognized by its period. In this light, it would be interesting to find an answer to the following

Question — Let X be an irreducible symplectic manifold with the period of a Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S)$ of a K3 surface S. Is X birational to $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(S)$?

Let us conclude with a few remarks on the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$ of marked manifolds.

By definition $\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$ is the set $\{(X,\varphi)\}/\sim$. Here X is an irreducible symplectic manifold and $\varphi : H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \cong \Gamma$ is an isomorphism of lattices. Two marked manifolds (X,φ) and (X',φ') are equivalent if there exists an isomorphism $g : X \cong X'$ with $g^* = \pm(\varphi^{-1}\circ\varphi')$. That this set can be given the structure of a smooth, although non-separated, manifold is a consequence of the unobstructedness of symplectic manifolds and the Local Torelli Theorem. The period map $\mathcal{P} : \mathfrak{M}_\Gamma \to Q \subset \mathbb{P}(\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}})$ exhibits $\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$ as a space étale over of the period domain Q.

The above speculation together with 4.3 and 8.1 is equivalent to

Speculation 10.1' — *If $\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma \neq \emptyset$ then the fibre over a general point $x \in Q$ is exactly one point.*

Note also that once the first speculation is answered positively it can be used to generalize Theorem 4.6 to the effect that any two birational irreducible symplectic manifolds (projective or not) correspond to non-separated points in the moduli space.

It is tempting to define another moduli space which does not distinguish between birational manifolds. Let $\mathfrak{N}_\Gamma$ be the set $\{(X,\varphi)\}/\approx$, where the (X,φ) are as above and $(X,\varphi) \approx (X',\varphi')$ if and only if there exists a birational map $g : X \dashrightarrow X'$ with $g^* = \pm(\varphi^{-1}\circ\varphi')$. Of course, there is a natural map $\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma \to \mathfrak{N}_\Gamma$. Theorem 4.6 proves that two points in the same fibre of this map, at least if they are projective, are contained in the same component of $\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$. In fact, they are non-separated there. Thus, the general form of Theorem 4.6, i.e. without the projectivity assumption, would prove that the number of components of $\mathfrak{M}_\Gamma$ and $\mathfrak{N}_\Gamma$ is the same. Can $\mathfrak{N}_\Gamma$ be endowed with the structure of a manifold? If a birational map can always be extended to birational maps between all nearby fibres in the Kuranishi family, then local patching would supply $\mathfrak{N}_\Gamma$ with the structure of a manifold. Of course, if the Global Torelli Theorem in the formulation of the first speculation above holds true, then this would be trivial. Also note that the period map $\mathcal{P} : \mathfrak{M}_\Gamma \to Q$ naturally factorizes through a map $\mathfrak{N}_\Gamma \to Q$.

References

[1] *Geométrie des surfaces K3: modules et périodes.* Séminaires Palaiseau. ed A. Beauville, J.-P. Bourguignon, M. Demazure. Astérisque 126 (1985).

[2] Beauville, A. *Variétés Kähleriennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle.* J. Diff. Geom. 18 (1983), 755-782.

[3] Beauville, A. *Some remarks on Kähler manifolds with* $c_1 = 0$. in Classification of algebraic and analytic manifolds. Progr. Math. 39 (1983), 1-26.

[4] Beauville, A., Donagi, R. *La variété des droits d'une hypersurface cubique de dimension 4.* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 301 (1985), 703-706.

[5] Bishop, E. *Conditions for the analyticity of certain sets.* Mich. Math. J. 11 (1964), 289-304.

[6] Bogomolov, F. A. *On the decomposition of Kähler manifolds with trivial canonical class.* Math. USSR-Sb. 22 (1974), 580-583.

[7] Bogomolov, F. A. *Hamiltonian Kähler manifolds.* Sov. Math. Dokl. 19 (1978), 1462-1465.

[8] Bogomolov, F. A. *On the cohomology ring of a simple hyperkähler manifold (on the results of Verbitsky).* GAFA 6(4) (1996), 612-618.

[9] Bonavero, L. *Inégalités de Morse holomorphes singulières.* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 317 (1993), 1163-1166.

[10] Barth, W., Peters, C., Van de Ven, A. *Compact Complex Surfaces.* Erg. Math., 3. Folge, Band 4, Springer, Berlin (1984).

[11] Bourbaki, N. *Espace vectoriels topologiques.* Hermann, Paris (1964).

[12] Burns, D., Rapoport, M. *On the Torelli Problem for Kählerian K3 Surfaces.* Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. 8 (1975), 235-274.

[13] Campana, F. *Densité des variétés Hamiltoniennes primitives projectives.* C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris 297 (1983), 413-416.

[14] Clemens, H., Kollár, J., Mori, S. *Higher dimensional complex geometry.* Astérisque 166 (1988).

[15] Debarre, O. *Un contre-exemple au théorème de Torelli pour les variétés symplectiques irréductibles.* C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris 299 (1984), 681-684.

[16] Demailly, J.-P. L^2 *Vanishing Theorems for Positive Line Bundles and Adjunction Theory.* CIME Lect. Notes (1994).

[17] Enoki, I. *Compact Ricci-Flat Kähler Manifolds.* Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 18-II (1990), 229-256.

[18] Friedman, R. *A new proof of the Global Torelli Theorem for K3 surfaces.* Ann. Math. 120 (1984), 237-269.

[19] Fujiki, A. *A Theorem on Bimeromorphic Maps of Kähler Manifolds and Its Applications.* Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ. 17 (1981), 735-754.

[20] Fujiki, A. *On primitively symplectic compact Kähler V-manifolds of dimension four.* in Classification of algebraic and analytic manifolds. Progr. Math. 39 (1983), 71-250.

[21] Fujiki, A. *On the de Rham Cohomology Group of a Compact Kähler Symplectic Manifold.* Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 10 (1987), 105-165.

[22] Griffiths, Ph., Harris, J. *Principles of Algebraic Geometry.* John Wiley & Son (1978).

[23] Göttsche, L., Huybrechts, D. *Hodge numbers of moduli spaces of stable bundles on K3 surfaces.* Int. J. Math. 7 (1996), 359-372.

[24] Guan, Zhuang-Dan *Examples of compact holomorphic symplectic manifolds which are not Kähler III.* Int. J. Math. 6 (1995), 709-718.

[25] Hartshorne, R. *Ample Subvarieties of Algebraic Varieties.* Lect. Notes Math. 156 (1970).

[26] Harvey, R. *Holomorphic chains and their boundaries.* Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 30 (1977), 309-380.

[27] Hitchin, N., Karlhede, A., Lindström, U., Rocek, M. *Hyperkähler metrics and supersymmetry.* Com. Math. Phys. 108 (1987), 535-589.

[28] Huybrechts, D. *Birational symplectic manifolds and their deformations.* to appear in J. Diff. Geom. 45 (1997).

[29] Huybrechts, D., Lehn, M. *The Geometry of Moduli Spaces of Sheaves.* Aspects of Mathematics E 31, Vieweg Verlag (1997).

[30] Ji, S., Shiffman, B. *Properties of compact complex manifolds carrying closed positive currents.* J. Geom. Anal. 3 (1993), 37-61.

[31] Kawamata, Y. *Unobstructed deformations-A remark on a paper of Z. Ran.* J. Alg. Geom. 1 (1992), 183-190.

[32] Kodaira, K. *On a differential-geometric method in the theory of analytic stacks.* Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 39 (1953), 1268-1273.

[33] Kodaira, K. *On Kähler varieties of restricted type (An intrinsic characterization of algebraic varieties).* Ann. Math. 60 (1954), 28-48.

[34] Kodaira, K., Spencer, D. *On deformations of complex analytic structures I, II.* Ann. Math. 67 (1958), 328-466.

[35] Kodaira, K., Spencer, D. *On deformations of complex analytic structures III. Stability theorems for complex analytic structures.* Ann. Math. 71 (1960), 43-76.

[36] Looijenga, E., Lunts, V. *A Lie Algebra Attached to a Projective Variety.* to appear in Invent. math.

[37] Markushevich, D. *Completely integrable projective symplectic* 4*-dimensional varieties.* Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk 59 (1995), 157-184.

[38] Matsusaka, T., Mumford, D. *Two fundamental theorems on deformations of polarized varieties.* Amer. J. Math. 86 (1964), 668-684.

[39] Mukai, S. *Symplectic structure of the moduli space of sheaves on an abelian or K3 surface.* Invent. Math. 77 (1984), 101-116.

[40] Mukai, S. *Moduli of vector bundles on K3 surfaces, and symplectic manifolds.* Sugaku Expositions 1(2) (1988), 138-174.

[41] Moishezon, B. G. *On n-dimensional compact varieties with n-algebraic independent meromorphic functions.* Am. Math. Soc. Transl. 63 (1967), 51-177.

[42] O'Grady, K. *The weight-two Hodge structure of moduli spaces of sheaves on a K3 surface.* Preprint (1995).

[43] Peternell, T. *Algebraicity criteria for compact complex manifolds.* Math. Ann. 275 (1986), 653-672.

[44] Ran, Z. *Deformations of manifolds with torsion or negative canonical bundles.* J. Alg. Geom. 1 (1992), 279-291.

[45] Salamon, S. *Quaternionic Kähler manifolds.* Invent. Math. 67 (1987), 143-171.

[46] Salamon, S. *Riemannian Geometry and Holonomy Groups.* Pitman Research Notes in Math. 201 Longman Scientific New York (1989).

[47] Siu, Y.-T. *Every K3 surface is Kähler.* Invent. math. 73 (1983), 139-150.

[48] Tian, G. *Smoothness of the universal deformation space of compact Calabi-Yau manifolds and its Petersson-Weil metric.* In: Yau, S. T. Mathematical Aspects of String theory. World Scientific (1987), 629-646.

[49] Todorov, A. N. *The Weil-Petersson geometry of the moduli space of* $SU(n \geq 3)$ *(Calabi-Yau) manifolds.* Comm. Math. Phys. 126 (1989), 325-346.

[50] Varouchas, J. *Kähler Spaces and Proper Open Morphisms*. Math. Ann. 283 (1989), 13-52.

[51] Verbitsky, M. *Cohomology of compact hyperkähler manifolds and its applications.* GAFA 6(4) (1996), 602-611.